

Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) – screening template

This tool assists services in determining whether the decisions, proposals, or policies they are seeking to make will require a full Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA). EqIAs help the Council comply with its duty under s.149 of the Equality Act 2010 to have "due regard" to specified equality matters. They are required in most cases but, in some cases, an EqIA is not necessary or is only necessary for certain aspects of a decision. Please email equalities@walthamforest.gov.uk for a copy of a full EqIA template.

The Council understands that whilst its equalities duty applies to all services, it is going to be more relevant to some decisions than others. We need to be pragmatic and ensure that the detail of the EqIA is proportionate to the impact of decisions on the equality

duty. In some cases, a full EqIA is not necessary and/or the equalities duties do not apply. In other cases, only part of a decision will require an EqIA to ensure the Council has due regard to its equality duties. The following examples are intended to assist:

Where will a full EqIA be required?

In short, wherever a decision has a more than minimal or theoretical **adverse or negative** impact on those with protected characteristics, for example, if the Council is considering:

- · Ceasing a service.
- Reducing a service or reducing it in particular areas, e.g., closing an office in Leyton but not Walthamstow.
- Changes to the way a service is delivered, e.g., moving to personalisation or moving to online access only.
- Changes to eligibility criteria, rules, or practices for a service.
- Changes to discretionary fees and charges.

Where might an EqIA not be required?

- Where it can be proven that the decisions, proposals, or policies has no equalities impact—with particular focus on negative impacts on service users and residents.
- Where it can be proven that the decisions, proposals, or policies has a minimal or theoretical equalities impact (and so does not need to be considered).
- Where the decisions, proposals, or policies is mandatory and there is no element of discretion (e.g., to adopt a member's code of conduct or similar).
- In rare cases, where a previous EqIA exists and a review shows that it is still relevant at the time of the final decisions, proposals, or policies, i.e., the facts have not changed.

Important:

- The screening tool should not be used to mask any equality impacts or as a "get out".
- There can be a negative equality impact even if you think that, overall, you are proposing changes that will make services better. If there is an adverse or negative impact, you must complete a full EqIA.
- **Negative** impacts are often indirect, i.e., a rule that is on its face of universal impact but has greater impact on some groups in practice e.g. due to the ethnic makeup of an area.
- In most cases, the screening process requires a degree of collation and analysis of evidence. If this requires a lot of work, consider whether it is simpler to omit the

- screening process and undertake a full EqIA.
- The equality duty **continues** up to and after the final decision. If proposals or facts change before the final decision, any screening tool will need to be reviewed and evidenced.
- Any consultation undertaken should also inform the screening process, e.g., issues raised by those affected. Monitoring should take place after a decision as part of service delivery.
- The completed template will be attached to Cabinet or other decision-making report and so it must include sufficient detail to justify the decision not to carry out a full EqIA.

What to do?

The screening process should be used on **ALL** new decisions, proposals, policies, projects, functions, saving proposals, major developments or planning applications, or when revising them, if there is no negative equality impact or there is uncertainty about whether there is a negative equality impact. **However**, if your proposal is of a significant nature and it is apparent from the outset that a full EqIA will be required, then you do not need to complete this screening template and can progress **directly to a full EqIA**. If a negative/adverse impact has been identified during completion of the screening tool, a full EqIA **MUST** be undertaken. If you have not identified any negative/ adverse impacts arising from your proposal, you do not need to undertake a full EqIA. However, make sure you have explained clearly why the

proposal does not have any negative/adverse impact. If your proposal is going to Cabinet or Committee (e.g., Planning or Licensing) and you are not undertaking a full EqIA, you must:

Waltham Forest

- 1. Share your report and completed screening tool with Equalities (equalities@walthamforest.gov.uk), who will check and challenge your findings and
- **2.** Use the following wording under the Equality & Diversity paragraph in the Cabinet report: "An initial screening exercise of the equality impact of this decision was undertaken and determined there was no / minimal impact (delete as appropriate) on the Council's equality duty." Attach the completed template as an appendix to your report.

1	Proposal / Project Title: Housing Asset Management Strategy 2026-2031										
2	Brief summary of the above: (include main aims, proposed outcomes, recommendations / decisions sought) This strategy outlines the council's approach to managing, maintaining, and investing in its housing stock over the next five years, with a focus on building safety, meeting the Decent Homes Standard, sustainability, resident satisfaction, and financial resilience.										
3	Considering the equality aims (eliminate unlawful discrimination; advance equality of opportunity; foster good relations) indicate for each protected group whether there may be a positive impact, negative (adverse) impact, or no impact arising from the proposal. *Please note in addition to our statutory obligations under the Equality Act 2010, Waltham Forest Council include care leavers as having a protected characteristic and to this extent passed a motion in April 2023 to recognise this action. Therefore, Waltham Forest Council's EqIA process also includes a requirement to explore the impact of a proposal on that group.										
4	Protected Characteristic (Equality Group)	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	No Impact	Briefly explain your answer. Consider evidence, data, and any consultation. https://www.walthamforest.gov.uk/content/statistics-about-borough						
	Age	\boxtimes			Older people and children are overrepresented in social housing so will be positively impacted by this strategy through improvements to safety, quality and overall resident satisfaction, as well as energy efficiency improvements which will increase warmth and comfort of homes, resulting in positive impact on health and wellbeing						
	Disability	\boxtimes			Residents with disabilities are overrepresented in social housing so will be positively impacted by this strategy through improvements to safety, quality and overall resident satisfaction, as well as energy efficiency improvements which will increase warmth and comfort of homes, resulting in positive impact on health and wellbeing.						
	Pregnancy and Maternity			\boxtimes	Not known						
	Race	\boxtimes			Global majority groups are overrepresented in social housing so will be positively impacted by this strategy through improvements to safety, quality and overall resident satisfaction, as well as energy efficiency improvements which will increase warmth and comfort of homes, resulting in positive impact on health and wellbeing						
	Religion or Belief			\boxtimes	Not known						
	Sex (Including Gender Re-assignment)	\boxtimes			Women are overrepresented in social housing so will be positively impacted be strategy through improvements to safety, quality and overall resident satisfact well as energy efficiency improvements which will increase warmth and comfort homes, resulting in positive impact on health and wellbeing						
	Sexual Orientation			\boxtimes	Not known						
	Marriage and Civil Partnership			\boxtimes	Not known						

	Care Leavers*	\boxtimes			imp resi	pacted by this strategy the ident satisfaction, as well	rough improvements i l as energy efficiency i	to safety mprove	erefore may be positively , quality and overall ments which will increase t on health and wellbeing			
5	There are no negative/adverse impact(s) If you have not identified any negative/adverse impacts please briefly explain your answer, providing evidence to support decision.	The Asset Management Strategy aims to improve the safety and quality of council homes which is expected to have a positive impact on tenants' health and wellbeing, and financial situation. Works outlined in the strategy may cause some disruption to tenants however once completed this will result in improvements to the safety, condition and quality of their home, so are beneficial overall.										
6	Describe how opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations for any of the protected characteristics has been taken up (where relevant).	The decision to invest in improvements on a council home is based on the condition and compliance with required standards (such as gas or fire safety) therefore generally there are limited opportunities to advance equality proactively.										
7	As a result of this screening is a full EA	Yes	No	Briefly explain your answer.								
	necessary (Please check 🗵 appropriate box)		The work outlined in this strategy is expected to have a positive impact on all tenants impacted, which will include an overrepresentation of residents from the protected characteristic groups mentioned here.									
8	Name of Lead Officer: Katy Revett	Job title: Housing Strategy Officer Date EqIA screener completed: 21 October 2025										
	Signed off by Head of Service:	rone.			Name :	Mark Crane		Date:	10.11.2025			