

# **EQUALITY ANALYSIS (EA) - SCREENING TEMPLATE**

**GUIDANCE TOOL** This Tool assists services in determining whether their plans and decisions will require a full Equalities Analysis. EAs help the Council comply with its duty under s.149 of the Equality Act 2010 to have "due regard" to specified equality matters. They are required in most cases but, in some cases, an EA is not necessary or is only necessary for certain aspects of a decision. Full guidance on the Council's duties and EAs and the full EA template is available at <a href="http://forestnet.lbwf.gov.uk/index/residents-first/equalities/equality-analysis.htm">http://forestnet.lbwf.gov.uk/index/residents-first/equalities/equality-analysis.htm</a>

The Council understands that whilst its equalities duty applies to all services, it is going to be more relevant to some decisions than others. We need to be pragmatic and ensure that the detail of Equality Analyses (EAs) are proportionate to the impact of decisions on the equality

duty. In some cases a full EA is not necessary and/or the equalities duties do not apply. In other cases, only part of a decision will require an EA to ensure the Council has due regard to its equality duties. The following examples are intended to assist:

### Where will a full EA be required?

In short, wherever a decision has a more than minimal or theoretical **adverse or negative** impact on those with protected characteristics, for example, if the Council is considering:

- · Ceasing a service
- Reducing a service or reducing it in particular areas, e.g. closing an office in Leyton but not Walthamstow
- Changes to the way a service is delivered, e.g. moving to personalisation or moving to online access only
- Changes to eligibility criteria, rules or practices for a service
- Changes to discretionary fees and charges

# Where might an EA not be required?

- Where it can be proven that the decision has no equalities impact—with particular focus on negative impacts on service users and residents
- Where it can be proven that the decision has a minimal or theoretical equalities impact (and so does not need to be considered)
- Where the decision is mandatory and there is no element of discretion (e.g. to adopt a member's code of conduct or similar)
- In rare cases, where a previous EA exists and a review shows that it is still relevant at the time of the final decision, i.e. the facts have not changed

## Important:

- The EA screening tool should not be used to mask over any equality impacts or as a "get out".
- There can be a negative equality impact even if you think that overall, you are proposing changes that will make services better. If there is an adverse or negative impact, you must complete a full EA.
- **Negative** impacts are often indirect, i.e. a rule that is on its face of universal impact but has greater impact on some groups in practice e.g. due to the ethnic makeup of an area.
- In most cases, the screening process requires a degree of collation and analysis of

- evidence. If this requires a lot of work, consider whether it is actually simpler to omit the screening process and undertake a full EA.
- The equality duty **continues** up to and after the final decision. If proposals or facts change before the final decision, any screening tool will need to be reviewed and evidenced.
- Any consultation undertaken should also inform the screening process, e.g. issues raised by those affected. Monitoring should take place after a decision as part of service delivery.
- The completed screening template will be attached to Cabinet or other decision making report and so it must include sufficient detail to justify the decision not to carry out a full EA.

#### What to do?

The screening process should be used on **ALL** new proposals, policies, projects, functions, saving proposals, major developments or planning applications, or when revising them, if there is no negative equality impact or there is uncertainty about whether there is a negative equality impact. **However**, If your proposal is of a significant nature and it is apparent from the outset that a full EA will be required, then you do not need to complete this screening template and can progress directly to a full EA. If a negative/adverse impact has been identified during completion of the screening tool, a full EA **MUST** be undertaken.

proposal does not have any negative/adverse impact. If your proposal is going to Cabinet or Committee (e.g. Planning or Licensing) and you are not undertaking a full EA, you must:

Waltha

- **a.** share your report and completed screening tool with Shahid Mallam, Performance & Improvement Team, who will check and challenge your findings *and*
- **b.** use the following wording under the Equality & Diversity paragraph in the Cabinet report: "An initial screening exercise of the equality impact of this decision was undertaken and determined there was no / minimal impact

If you have not identified any negative/ adverse impacts arising from your proposal you do not need to undertake a full EA. However, make sure you have explained clearly why the

(delete as appropriate) on the Council's equality duty." Attach the completed template as an appendix to your report.

1. Proposal / Project Title: Epping Forest Special Area of Conservation Strategic Access Management and Mitigation Strategy										
2.	Brief summary of the above: (include main aims, proposed outcomes, recommendations / decisions sought)  This report addresses 1. the governance mechanism under which existing and future Strategic Access Management payments are to be made and 2. The level of contribution to the delivery of scheme of environmental mitigations delivered by the City Of London to Epping Forest land.									
3.	Considering the equality aims (eliminate unlawful discrimination; advance equality of opportunity; foster good relations) indicate for each protected group whether there may be a positive impact, negative (adverse) impact, or no impact arising from the proposal.									
4.	Protected Characteristic (Equality Group)	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	No Impact	Briefly explain your answer. Consider evidence, data and any consultation. http://www.walthamforest.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Statistics-economic-information-and-analysis.aspx)					
	Age			$\boxtimes$	The openness and the accessibility of Epping Forest will not be affected by the proposal					
	Disability			$\boxtimes$	The openness and the accessibility of Epping Forest will not be affected by the proposal					
	Pregnancy and Maternity			$\boxtimes$	The openness and the accessibility of Epping Forest will not be affected by the proposal					
	Race			$\boxtimes$	The openness and the accessibility of Epping Forest will not be affected by the proposal					
	Religion or Belief			$\boxtimes$	The openness and the accessibility of Epping Forest will not be affected by the proposal					
	Sex (Including Gender Re-assignment)			$\boxtimes$	The openness and the accessibility of Epping Forest will not be affected by the proposal					
	Sexual Orientation			$\boxtimes$	The openness and the accessibility of Epping Forest will not be affected by the proposal					
	Marriage and Civil Partnership			$\boxtimes$	The openness and the accessibility of Epping Forest will not be affected by the proposal					
5.	There are no negative/adverse impact(s)  If you have not identified any negative/adverse impacts please briefly explain your answer, providing evidence to support decision.	As set out above, in general the openness and the accessibility of Epping Forest will not be affected by the proposal. It would even be reasonable to conclude that the accessibility would be enhanced. The projects proposed to be funding by SAMM are included in the copy of the partnership agreement appended to the cabinet report.								

<ol> <li>Describe how opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations for any of the protected characteristics has been taken up (where relevant).</li> </ol>	The Agreement pertains to a finance mechanism and contribution rate for the delivery of environmental improvements to Epping Forest. The projects proposed to be funding by SAMM are included in the copy of the partnership agreement appended to the cabinet report.							
7. As a result of this screening is a full EA	Yes	No	Briefly explain your answer.					
necessary (Please check 🗵 appropriate box)		$\boxtimes$	For the reasons given above.					
8. Name of Lead Officer: Kelvin Bathie		Job title:	Principal Planning Policy Officer	Date screening tool completed: 25 April 2022				
Signed off by Head of Service:			Name: Sarah Parsons	D	pate: 21st June 2022			