

# Report to Safer Neighbourhoods and Active Communities Scrutiny Board

#### 29 April 2025

| Subject:         | Tracking and Monitoring of Scrutiny Recommendations |
|------------------|---|
| Director:        | James McLaughlin                                    |
|                  | Assistant Chief Executive                           |
| Contact Officer: | John Swann  |
|                  | Democratic Services Officer                         |

#### 1 Recommendations

- 1.1 That the Board notes the responses on recommendations referred since the Board's last meeting.
- 1.2 That the Board notes the progress on implementation recommendations made.
- 1.3 That the Board determines what action it wishes to take where progress is unsatisfactory.
- 1.4 That the Board determines which actions/recommendations no longer require monitoring.

#### 2 Reasons for Recommendations

- 2.1 To facilitate the effective monitoring of progress on responses to and press with implementation of recommendations made by the Board and identify where further action is required.
- 2.2 Effective monitoring of recommendations facilitates the evaluation of the impact of the scrutiny function overall.

## 3 How does this deliver objectives of the Corporate Plan?

| Growing Up in Sandwell | The scrutiny function supports all of the objectives of  |
|------------------------|--|
| Living in Condwall     | the Corporate Plan by seeking to improve services  |
| Living in Sandwell     | for the people of Sandwell. It does this by influencing the policies and decisions made by the |
| Thriving Economy in    | Council and other organisations involved in  |
| Sandwell               | delivering public services.  |
| Healthy in Sandwell    |  |
|                        | Effective monitoring of recommendations made   |
| One Council One Team   | supports this and allows scrutiny to evaluate is impact.                                       |

## 4 Context and Key Issues

4.1 The attached Appendix details the responses to actions identified and/or recommendations made by the scrutiny function and progress on the implementation of those previously approved.

## 5 Implications

| Resources:  | Any resources implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.  Any specific resources implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix. |
|-------------|--|
| Legal and   | The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out   |
| Governance: | in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act   |
|             | 2000. The Local Government and Public Involvement in   |
|             | Health Act 2007 places a duty on the Executive to  |
|             | respond to Scrutiny recommendations within two   |
|             | months of receiving them.  |
|             | NHS service commissioners and providers have a   |
|             | duty to respond in writing to a report or  |
|             | recommendation where health scrutiny requests this,  |
|             | within 28 days of the request. This applies to requests  |
|             | from individual health scrutiny committees or sub-   |
|             | committees, from local authorities and from joint  |
|             | health scrutiny committees or sub-committees.  |

| Risk:         | Any risk implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.   |
|---------------|--|
|               | Any specific risk implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.   |
| Equality:     | Any equality implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.  Any specific equality implications for the Board's |
|               | attention are detailed in the Appendix.  |
| Health and    | Any health and wellbeing implications arising from   |
| Wellbeing:    | scrutiny activity are considered as required by the  |
|               | appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.  |
|               | Any specific health and wellbeing implications for the   |
|               | Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.  |
| Social Value: | Any social value implications arising from scrutiny  |
|               | activity are considered as required by the appropriate   |
|               | director or cabinet member/cabinet.  |
|               | Any specific social value implications for the Board's   |
|               | attention are detailed in the Appendix.  |
| Climate       | Any climate change implications arising from scrutiny  |
| Change:       | activity are considered as required by the appropriate   |
|               | director or cabinet member/cabinet.  |
|               | Any specific climate change implications for the   |
|               | Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.  |
| Corporate     | Any corporate parenting implications arising from  |
| Parenting     | scrutiny activity are considered as required by the  |
|               | appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.  |
|               | Any specific corporate parenting implications for the  |
|               | Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.  |

# 6 Appendices

Appendix 1– Safer Neighbourhoods and Active Communities Scrutiny Board Action Tracker

# 7. Background Papers

None.