

# Appendix 4 - All Survey responses

What is your postcode?	What is the main way you are responding to this consultation? - Connection to the area	Policy Alignment with Needs:	In your opinion does the draft Policy balance the interests of residents, businesses, and visitors? - Tell us why	Pre-application advice service (Chapter Four, page 27):	Engagement with local communities and Responsible Authorities as part of the pre-application advice service will improve the licensing process. Do you agree? - Tell us why	Women's Safety (Chapter 4, pages 29 - 30):	The draft Policy highlights measures that licensed premises can adopt. In your opinion are the measures in the draft Policy (e.g. adoption of the Women's Night Safety Charter) effective in ensuring safety for women in Camden?	Women's Safety (Chapter 4, pages 29 - 30):	The draft Policy highlights measures that licensed premises can adopt. In your opinion are the measures in the draft Policy (e.g. adoption of the Women's Night Safety Charter) effective in ensuring safety for women in Camden? - Tell us why	Drink Spiking (Chapter 4, page 30)	In your opinion do the measures outlined in the draft Policy adequately address drink spiking and other alcohol-related harms? - Tell us why	Hate and Intolerance (Chapter 4, page 32)	In your opinion does the draft licensing Policy promote inclusivity in Camden's licensed venues? - Tell us why	Hate and Intolerance (Chapter 4, page 32)	In your opinion does the draft licensing Policy promote accessibility in Camden's licensed venues? - Tell us why	Hate and Intolerance (Chapter 4, page 32): In your opinion does the draft licensing Policy promote accessibility in Camden's licensed venues? - Tell us why	Framework Hours (Chapter 5, pages 45-47):	Are the proposed framework hours (e.g. extending terminal hours by 30 minutes) suitable for balancing business interests and community needs? - Tell us why	Enforcement and Monitoring (Chapter Fourteen, Pages 76-78):	In your opinion is Camden's approach to monitoring and enforcing licensing conditions as outlined in the draft licensing policy adequate? - Tell us why	Enforcement and Monitoring (Chapter Fourteen, Pages 76-78):	In your opinion is Camden's approach to monitoring and enforcing licensing conditions as outlined in the draft licensing policy adequate? - Tell us why	Enforcement and Monitoring (Chapter Fourteen, Pages 76-78):	In your opinion are the proposed measures in the draft Policy adequate in promoting the Licensing objectives? - Tell us why	Enforcement and Monitoring (Chapter Fourteen, Pages 76-78):	In your opinion are the proposed measures in the draft Policy adequate in promoting the Licensing objectives? - Tell us why	Conditions (Appendix 5, pages 91-112):	We have proposed a set of example conditions, which will assist applicants to promote the licensing objectives when drafting their application. These can be found in Appendix 5 of the draft Policy and are suggested as guidance.	Conditions (Appendix 5, pages 91-112):	We have proposed a set of example conditions, which will assist applicants to promote the licensing objectives when drafting their application. These can be found in Appendix 5 of the draft Policy and are suggested as guidance.
NW5 2LE	I'm a resident	Yes		Yes		Unsure			Unsure	Will it be effective?	Yes			Yes		Yes			Yes			Yes			Unsure		Unsure			
N6 6PT	I'm a resident	Yes	The policy is not a significant enough change to impact residents whilst being a positive development for businesses and customers. I would like to see far more about issues that arise for those working in the night-time economy, such as sufficient breaks, safe travel home etc. In Glasgow the owner of a club has agreed to provide taxis home for staff. Shouldn't Camden be insisting on the same?	Yes		Yes			Yes		Yes			Yes		Yes			Yes			Yes			Unsure		Unsure			
NW6 5TA	I visit Camden regularly for shopping and recreation	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure			Yes		Unsure			Yes		Unsure			No (please tell us more below)		Insufficient monitoring	Unsure			Unsure		Unsure			
NW1 8NJ	I'm a member of a community group or organisation	Yes	It better balances the wishes of the local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	It better balances the wishes of the local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	This is an important new focus.	Yes	This is an important new focus.	Yes	This is an important new focus.	Yes	This is an important new focus.	Yes	This is an important new focus.	Yes		It better balances the wishes of the local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	It better balances the wishes of the local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	It better balances the wishes of the local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	It better balances the wishes of the local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	It better balances the wishes of the local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.				
NW1 8AP	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Crime in the area is spiraling out of control and the Council is solely focused on facilitating business and corporate profit at the expense of everyday people and residents. Businesses wishing to extend hours should contribute much more to local safety and crime prevention. They should also contribute to local health programmes as the noise and crime are affecting our health.	No (please tell us more below)	You need to propose stronger requirements for consultation including posting notices to letterboxes of residents and posting invites to consultation events. Pre-application engagement is incredibly poor in the area because events and applications are not advertised enough and people are often unaware of what is happening until it's too late.	Unsure	I don't know enough about this topic. Please consult relevant women's organisations.	Unsure		Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	Businesses are not contributing enough to community safety and health.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure				
WC18 4BA	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	The residents seem to be completely ignored in this. Our mansion block has 26 flats and around 50 residents. There are an increasing number of fast food places on the ground floor that have a huge impact on our lives with noise, smells & huge piles of rubbish & food waste on the street. These units were designed to be retail, they were never designed to be kitchens etc. and are not suitable for the demands they make	Yes	Residents aren't mentioned here. We are rarely consulted & major issues, like the KFC opening until 3 am (which is directly below someone's bedroom & makes life unbearable) appear to be carried out in secret.	No (please tell us more below)	Nothing done about drug dealers operating in groups up the high street hassling and intimidating Community wardens ignore them	No (please tell us more below)	Do we really need drunks on the street in the middle of the night? Why do they get priority over local residents?	No (please tell us more below)	Late night opening creates a situation where people are too inebriated to make any meaningful decisions. This just dumps the responsibility on to an organisation who are there just to make a profit with no social connection.	No (please tell us more below)	This does not include the needs of residents	No (please tell us more below)	Drunken people on the streets are very intolerant of local residents needs.	No (please tell us more below)		What has the community to do with this? Do we have any say or any choice? Would we ever even get to know about before we hear people shouting in the street outside our bedrooms?	No (please tell us more below)	Residents are not mentioned. We are obviously unimportant & not relevant to the drive for profits. Why do we not have a say in what and who get licences?	No (please tell us more below)	I doubt that these will ever be enforced. Community safety? What is done to enforce that?	No (please tell us more below)	In my experience this just doesn't happen. Camden seem to have neither the workforce nor the intention to do anything to interfere with these venues profits.						
Nw34rl	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Nothing ever done about groups of guys on high street selling drugs hassling and intimidating	Yes		No (please tell us more below)			No (please tell us more below)	Don't think this is a major issue in the real world created by council	No (please tell us more below)	Never seen this problem on a night out in Camden, council trying to stir things up	Unsure	Camden venues are already tolerant	No (please tell us more below)	Plenty of time for drinks without this	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure		Unsure			
NW6 2AS	I'm a resident	Yes		Yes		Yes			Yes		Yes			Yes		Yes			Unsure			Unsure		Unsure		Unsure				
NW1 0HH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Policy does not care about the local residents, and it does not even address the current issues, such as people urinating on the streets in front of everyone or inside our estate. Fake Apple merchandise is sold, and unbusiness is vibrant. Police are absent, and the council does not know what is happening. Even longer hours will make our lives a worse misery than now and the council is only care about getting more money.	No (please tell us more below)	Just marketing mpla mpla mpla	No (please tell us more below)	Educate people not to drink until they drop. Most of the people are drinking an excessive amount with the blessing of the council when they very well know that there is NO police around. Council is deliberately trying to make the area more unsafe and dangerous in order for some to make more money.	No (please tell us more below)	Another marketing nonsense. They can not arrest the people selling drugs and fake products in daylight and they will address this serious issue?	The council and whoever makes the decision will be fully responsible for every negative case.	No (please tell us more below)	Same as above. Just marketing to show that you are doing something. Shame on you	No (please tell us more below)	same as above	No (please tell us more below)	Camden is extremely dirty, have deficient public facilities (no public toilets, unfit underground station with no step free access and constant crowding) and full of anti-social behaviour (plenty of open air drug dealing in Camden Market). The last thing we need is business selling alcohol even later at night.	Another 30 minutes for drinking more, taking more drugs and then abusing local residents. Completely off their faces, people engage in fights, and it is a nightmare for residents.	they have not enforced anything for years. They have only ONE solicitor. I have not paid my services charges since June 2024 and still they do not have a clue. Useless and incapable of running the council	No (please tell us more below)	I have evidence for the above, and I will take legal action regarding it. See more at: <a href="https://curockstreetestate.com/2024/08/17/airbnb-breach-of-leases/">https://curockstreetestate.com/2024/08/17/airbnb-breach-of-leases/</a>	No (please tell us more below)	nobody cares								
NW1 0SY	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Camden is extremely dirt, noisy and plagued by anti-social behaviour. The last thing residents needs is business selling alcohol at even later time at night	Unsure		Unsure			Unsure		Unsure			Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		Pub goes engage in antisocial behavior (shouting near residential areas, littering, urinating in the street) and the pubs do the bare minimum to enforce their duties. Camden is not monitoring and fining offending establishments near as much as it is needed.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure					
EC1N 7SN	I'm a resident	Yes		Unsure	I do not understand this and how this varies from your current policy.	Yes		Yes	It is imperative they are followed, and onto the street /bus stops etc	Unsure		Unsure	How does this vary from your current policy?	Unsure	How does this vary from your current policy?	Yes		Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure		
NW3 2bd	I'm a resident	Unsure	There needs to be more of a police presence in streets	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Why stop here, if businesses think there is money to be made then go for growth.	Yes		Yes		Unsure		Unsure					
NW5 3AW	I'm a resident	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes			
NW5 2LE	I'm a resident	Unsure	Licensing hours already adequate. Increasing alcohol related anti social behaviour in the area which this will clearly make worse Existing rules allow for later licenses where appropriate Inadequate policing currently will only be exacerbated by these changes	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	"Participation and Adopting 'Ask for Angela' & WAVE Training: All licensed premises are strongly encouraged to adopt the 'ask for Angela' scheme and ensure that key staff receive Welfare and Vulnerability Engagement (WAVE) training. " This training should be mandatory, not just encouraged.	Yes		Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure			Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure			
WC2H 9BD	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes			
Nw1 8ug	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	See above. Will exacerbate increasing anti social behaviour in the face of inadequate policing	Yes	Need to be compulsory changes	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards	No (please tell us more below)	Because Camden is vastly diverse. Hours in Camden town should be very different from hours in a residential area such as Primrose Hill. The current method which is case-by-case is perfect for this. Changing hours in one broad sweep is a terrible idea and is inevitably going to cause problems.	Yes	More details needed Does the Council have the ability/resources to monitor and enforce effectively?	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure						
NW1 8XL	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Changes to framework hours do not take account of residential needs.	No (please tell us more below)	Because the concepts are based around box ticking rather than real engagement.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		Because Camden never actually does anything. Occasionally the police will do so but Camden is essentially a desk bound institution.	No (please tell us more below)	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure				
NW1 0BH	I'm a resident	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure			Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure			



WC1N 1AB	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	I am seriously concerned about the proposal to allow licensed premises to sell alcohol and continue trading beyond the existing limits within the bounds of the Marchmont Street Neighbourhood Shopping Centre (Planning designation) because this would be detrimental to the peace and quality of life of residents, with residential properties outnumbering commercial outlets by 4/8 to 1, making it primarily a residential street. Licensed premises (2 pubs and several restaurants) have co-existed in this street for many years by largely limiting their licensed hours to 11pm (closing by 11.30 or 12 midnight at the latest in the case of one public house only.	Yes	It will help applicants to understand the concerns of residents and avoid later conflicts.	Unsure	Seems to make sense.	Yes						Yes	No (please tell us more below)	Not in primarily residential streets - see earlier remarks, above.	Yes	It remains to be seen whether the Council will have the resources to provide these services.	Unsure	Only time (and resources) will tell.	Yes	But applicants need to know that they can't sell alcohol and continue trading beyond existing hours in predominantly residential streets.
W1T 2BH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Having lived almost next door to a troublesome nightclub in Whitfield street which has had its licence revoked, I can attest to the fact that enforcement of breaches of licence are not well followed up. Noise abatement comes hours later. Police attendance at the premises did not provoke a review. In short enforcement seems to be totally understaffed and unable to deal with problems effectively.	Yes	Good idea but will there be adequate enforcement? Who will be favoured, residents or licensees?	No (please tell us more below)	In my area I suspect spiking is not the greatest danger to women. Rather it is bottomless provisions which allow, even encourage patrons to drink beyond levels of safety. This also endangers locals who encounter loud and violent drunks on the street.	Unsure		Drunks are much more likely to hurl abuse at minorities. Licensing needs to tackle the problem of drunks on the street more effectively.	Unsure			Not Answered	Not Answered	In my area multiple clubs are applying for licenses up to 2 am, and this is being considered, when I feel this should be automatically refused.	No (please tell us more below)	I have personal experience of this failing to work. My whole street complained on a weekly basis about our local nightclub and at best we got an email 3 days later. 30 months of complaints failed to provoke enforcement or even a licence review. It was only when we hired a barrister that things started to change. This is quite unacceptable.	No (please tell us more below)	I am pretty sure this will not work effectively as not enough people are employed to implement this.	Unsure	How will this be monitored. What will happen if the premises fail to comply with their licence? You can no longer rely on the police presence.
NW3 3AH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Why? At the meeting in Primrose Hill on 11th Feb councillor Cooper said, "I think businesses in King's Cross will avail themselves of the extra half hour." I have seen no data that explains why the change is being suggested. Nor any research of the pros and cons. Will it help business? Will it impact local residents and families detrimentally? We were told that you had looked at seven other boroughs. What did you learn? The only thing you said was that in Clapham High Street most businesses didn't take up the extra half hour. All I can find by way of justification is "S.5. In considering suitable times for framework hours, we have had regard to concerns expressed by residents, the licensed trade and the police. We acknowledge that different risks are associated with selling alcohol for consumption on and off the premises or where alcohol is not provided and the premises only provide regulated entertainment."	Yes		Yes		Yes						Yes	No (please tell us more below)	11th Feb councillor Cooper said, "I think businesses in King's Cross will avail themselves of the extra half hour." I have seen no data that explains why the change is being suggested. Nor any research of the pros and cons. Will it help business? Will it impact local residents and families detrimentally? We were told that you had looked at seven other boroughs. What did you learn? The only thing you said was that in Clapham High Street most businesses didn't take up the extra half hour. All I can find by way of justification is "S.5. In considering suitable times for framework hours, we have had regard to concerns expressed by residents, the licensed trade and the police. We acknowledge that different risks are associated with selling alcohol for consumption on and off the premises or where alcohol is not provided and the premises only provide regulated entertainment."	No (please tell us more below)	This relies too much on the informal compliance of pubs etc. Will you employ more licensing officers to cover the change in framework hours? How often will you do unannounced visits? I know of too many stories of residents going out, in their pyjamas, to plead with pubs to follow the rules.	No (please tell us more below)	you have done no work on assessing the impact of the change in framework hours.	Unsure	These look promising. But how will you enforce them?
NW5 3DU	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	No - this is very biased to businesses that serve alcohol. There are many other types of businesses in Camden that would benefit from regulatory support.	Not Answered		No (please tell us more below)	Are you actually giving the police more money to protect women?	Not Answered		Not Answered				Not Answered	No (please tell us more below)	Camden's licensed premises are currently running successful businesses within the current framework hours. Camden Council already doesn't have enough resources to properly enforce license breaches and the Police do not have enough resources to limit the impact of late-night-drinking fallout on the community.	No (please tell us more below)	Are you giving the Council more money to enforce conditions? They already don't have enough resources	No (please tell us more below)	Not Answered	Not Answered	
Nw5 3dl	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)				No (please tell us more below)	No (please tell us more below)	Too noisy in residential areas, no need for later licensing!	No (please tell us more below)	Licensing at certain venues (eg MAP cafe) is never followed - often it is noisy and disruptive until midnight despite having a license til only 8pm	No (please tell us more below)	Unclear what penalties will be involved for breaches of licenses	Unsure	
NW63NH	I'm a resident	Yes	The prolongation of the opening hours is definitely a step in the right direction, but given the dire state of the nightlife scene in London, one would expect a more radical increase, together with further help for the sector. Nevertheless, still helpful	Unsure	Will this lead to shorter licensing process, or just add a hurdle?	Yes		Yes		Yes				Unsure	Unsure	It is a step in the right direction, but very timid	Unsure		Unsure	Yes		
NW5 3DU	I'm a resident	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered				Not Answered	No (please tell us more below)	Camden already does not adequately enforce existing license conditions. There are many venues that cause public nuisance at night and camden doesn't have the resources to make sure venues are sticking to their license. The existing framework hours are perfectly adequate. Any venue can apply for a later license and it is likely to be approved if appropriate. There are many venues where a longer license would not be acceptable, such as pubs who are not on a high street, but instead, embedded within residential streets. There is already a falling birthrate in Camden. Policies like this clearly favour late-night / alcoholism over community and family in the borough. It also favours businesses over residents.	Not Answered		Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	
NW3 1QY	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)		Not Answered		No (please tell us more below)		Not Answered				Not Answered	No (please tell us more below)			No (please tell us more below)		Not Answered	Unsure	
NW3 4NI	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	As a resident I cannot imagine that the consequences of late night business hours will be beneficial in any way	No (please tell us more below)	In my experience the council does not make sure premises stick to their licensing and the council does not respond to residents when there are complaints. I don't believe licensing with or without engagement of local communities will lead to a better licensing process	No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	If people drink till 2am - they will drink more. And will be noisy later I cannot see how this can be addressed unless they serve non alcoholic beverages	Unsure	Unsure		Unsure	No (please tell us more below)			No (please tell us more below)	From what I have experienced Camden does not monitor or enforce licensing adequately	Unsure	Unsure		
E2 8ER	I visit Camden regularly for shopping and recreation	No (please tell us more below)	Not enough infrastructure to support You are extending licensing hours across the board and Camden has become a deeply unpleasant place to live. I think this encourages even more people to drink late at night and will likely encourage more overcrowded streets, drunkenness and antisocial behaviour that will affect the residents of the area whose needs have not been thought of at all.	Yes		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered				Not Answered	No (please tell us more below)	Not enough infrastructure to support	Not Answered		Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	
NW5 2AJ	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)		Yes	As long as you really listen to people who actually live here and not ignore their opinions	No (please tell us more below)	I don't see how proposing to extend licensing hours will improve safety for women at all.	Unsure	How on earth are you going to ensure safety when the venues are mobbed	Unsure		Please don't be stupid. You know what people are like when they are drunk.	Unsure	No (please tell us more below)	I think community needs have been ignored for years and this does nothing to balance their needs	No (please tell us more below)		How many Police will that need?	No (please tell us more below)	Unsure	I doubt it	

NW3 2DR	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	No with this Policy you extend the hours of drinking, that should be reduced. You do not address enough public nuisance. The pedestrian passage in front of many pubs is permanent used for drinking and eating and not anymore safe for the public (individuals, family, kids). Many venue including the Roundhouse use the football for hundreds meter causing permanent public nuisance for hours. You call this a managed queue, I call public nuisance.	Yes		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	most of the	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	It is bringing only more noise to the residents and makes our roads more dangerous with an extended work time for crime too.	No (please tell us more below)	You have never monitored almost anything. In some premises public nuisance has been ordinary part of their business for ages and I do not think this plan is improving the situation. I would like to see some police walking around in the night and have a clear point of contact to collect complains from residents. Please do an app where upload pictures and videos of the public nuisance.	Unsure		Yes	
W1 4RE	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	I feel the policy is heavily weighed in favour of visitors / businesses rather than residents. Noise, rubbish, anti-social behaviour in Fitzrovia is already under-managed so there is zero chance these changes will have a positive impact.	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		Unsure		Unsure			No (please tell us more below)	When will the commercial waste be collected. Will these times also be extended. Already residents are disturbed by heavily unregulated collection times from pubs, restaurants and bars.  Drunk and drug fuelled people loitering and gathering in the area, is already an issue. Making closing times later will surely only push residents disturbance further into the early hours.  Add to that pedicabs and their loud music bombing round Fitzrovia I cannot see any positives to extending terminal hours.	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	Unsure			
NW3 3AH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	and enforce current licensing rules around pubs located in predominantly residential areas such as Primrose Hill. The Queens on Regents Park Road is a particular problem because of its proximity to the park and the way it attracts huge numbers especially at weekends and in the summer.  For example it does not enforce the policy of moving drinkers inside at a certain time and, indeed it has no personnel or trained security to even attempt to implement this - contrary to Camden's stated policy in this consultation for "enhanced security measures". Camden has taken no action on this.  The proposed policy will allow some premises to extend their licensing hours which means even more enforcement officers and resources will be needed. Camden has not provided any evidence of an increase in budget to facilitate this. Even so, I do not agree that extra funds for this	No (please tell us more below)	these consultations. The Streeteries is a prime example. Overwhelmingly local residents didn't want these and yet Camden went ahead. We have repeatedly complained about the streeteries in Erskine Road and yet they are still there. The one outside Manna is supposed to be being removed but it's still there. The one outside Reenies is unused for 6 months of the year as the business is closed for 6 months. And yet Camden completely ignores these points.  So I have no confidence that any consultation process will result in any concerns of residents being taken into account.  Further the process is flawed. Camden makes policies, then consults, then implements the	No (please tell us more below)		Unsure		Unsure		Camden has misnamed the Equality Act 2010 (it is NOT the "Equalities Act") in the draft policy (paragraph 4.30). This does not give me confidence that Camden is familiar with the Equality Act nor that a lawyer has reviewed the documents to ensure it complies with relevant laws. It also misstates one of the protected characteristics.  The policy seems confused as to whether Camden is promoting Equality or Equity.	No (please tell us more below)	There seems to be little in the policy beyond saying that premises should be "accessible". That is weak. What do you want them to do?	No (please tell us more below)	In residential areas like Primrose Hill it is unreasonable to expect residents to tolerate further extending pub hours. Many of the worse problems occur at closing time and this policy pushes the closing time into later in the night which means residents are more likely to have their sleep interrupted, especially at weekends. This is unreasonable. Once your sleep is interrupted, the damage is done. Ringing the Council and asking the out-of-hours service to deal with anti-social behaviour is too late.  The police, the Council and the Royal Parks have struggled to deal with anti-social behaviour around the park in the evening. Extending drinking times and the sale of alcohol in the vicinity of the park, especially at the Queens is absurd, given this known experience which the proposed policy will only exacerbate.	No (please tell us more below)	Current enforcement doesn't work so extending hours with no evidence of substantial additional budget will make enforcement even weaker.  Longer drinking hours will allow troublemakers to get even more drunk and unruly. It's mad.	No (please tell us more below)	The policy obviously prioritises businesses over residents. Further, because of the additional enforcement requirement this policy will be a drain on Camden's budget. As a council taxpayer, I want my taxes spent on addressing the severe housing and education pressures that exist. Please don't introduce policies that take funding away from these vital issues.	Unsure
NW1 7SU	I'm a resident	Unsure		Yes		Unsure		Unsure	Yes	Yes			No (please tell us more below)	This is a residential area. No necessity to extend hours	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure			
NW1 8IT	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	All these regs. are irrelevant as long as they are not enforced. My concern is, like most of us residents of Primrose Hill, with disturbance out of hours, especially at night: noise, rubbish, pavement blocking etc.	Unsure	See above. 'Engagement' is only useful if you take real notice of what we, residents, say.	Yes	As far as I can tell...	Unsure	Ignorant on this topic	Unsure	Ignorant on this topic	Unsure	Ignorant on this topic	No (please tell us more below)	Extending hours absolutely NOT suitable in this quiet residential area, Primrose Hill.  Things may be totally different in south Camden.	Unsure	Everything written is fine. Again, all depends on enforcement.	Unsure	Unsure	Haven't got time to read them	
NW1 8EU	I'm a resident	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	Unsure	Unsure			Unsure								
NW1 8XH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	We are in a residential area where we have experienced a significant increase in ASB and disruption in recent years. The pubs are all on residential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbeing and health. The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisily at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincerity of the Camden licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing objectives.	Yes	If they actually invite residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.	Yes		Unsure	Unsure	Unsure			No (please tell us more below)	No. These changes seem to be designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiness at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally inappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more below)	It is very clear, from engaging with the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been woken up, do really want to have to disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seem to buckle under pressure from businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be a concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council resources is a very real issue too.	No (please tell us more below)	See earlier comments.	Unsure		
NW3 2BL	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes	Removal of C12 are very positive. Less red tape and more flexibility for the NTE. Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice. WAVE training is a massive help for all parties. New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it. Strong NTE creates jobs.	Yes	I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well	Yes	We are in the NTE and become much more focused on training of staff and awareness of the issues are now a regular topic for us to focus on.	Yes	We are in the NTE and become much more focused on training of staff and awareness of the issues are now a regular topic for us to focus on.	Yes			Yes	It encourages investment in the NTE and the Licensing Objectives are not compromised.	Yes		Because it allows NTE to invest with re-assurance that your know what basis you can plan for.	Yes			
WC1A 2SL	Other	Not Answered		Yes	UKHospitality agrees that pre-application engagement would improve the licensing process. Pre-application engagement can be important to ensure that businesses, local communities and responsible authorities are all aligned regarding licence applications. Whilst it is important that local views are heard and in many cases these constructive views benefit the licensing process, we would call on all local authorities to operate in a pro-growth manner, recognising the wider economic and societal benefits that licenced premises can bring to local areas.	Yes		UKHospitality strongly supports the Women's Night Safety Charter and remains a signatory. Many hospitality businesses have ongoing policies in this space and are aware of their responsibilities when it comes to vulnerability.	Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	Yes		Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	Licence conditions should always take a proportionate and balanced approach, rather than seeking to overly restrict business operations. As a general point, we do not support blanket conditions, and any conditions should be as a result of specific evidence and occur on a case by case basis.	

Nw18nl	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		
NW1 9SU	I work in Camden	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		
NW1 9PL	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Residents are last in the line. The policy seeks to support the extension of later night-time activities, remove the cumulative impact zones which reduces the need for applicants to include robust conditions to mitigate noise and other public nuisance issues for residents.	Yes	One would hope (although I remain sceptical) that the council officers would seek to apply conditions that the residents feel necessary to mitigate potential issues and so avoid hearings that cost everyone time and money.	No (please tell us more below)	There will be no more resources provided, no more police and no-one checking that premises are implementing all aspects of the charter. Noting that there are many inebriated people around in the late-night economy.	Unsure	busy pubs and clubs are focused on serving drinks to many people who are inebriated already - won't notice spiking being done and or assumes the spiked person is drunk.	Unsure	having a policy does not educate people	No (please tell us more below)	will be up to premises management to try to control behaviour in their premises - won't be enough police or council officers to enforce the policy	No (please tell us more below)	impact will be negative to the community as it reduces the need to mitigate the impact of the licenced premises.	No (please tell us more below)	not enough resources and process is too long and favours the licensee. IE they get too many opportunities and residents are the ones who suffer.	No (please tell us more below)	not enough resources will be provided	Unsure	They are not well written and need to be made easier to those unfamiliar with them to access the right ones. A review of them should be carried out by all interested parties eg. council, business, residents, police, environmental health etc.	
nw6 2H	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	I do not think it's a good idea to extend licensing hours due to health and anti social reasons - noise, violence etc	Yes	But consultation is rarely listened to in my experience and opinion	Unsure		Unsure	Extending hours and thereby possible consumption will only add to the risk and increase frequency	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		Unsure	See above. The existing hours should be plenty and adequate unless we want to encourage over consumption and health problems and add to the obesity and NHS crisis	No (please tell us more below)	There are problems with, (usually males), people drinking outside my workshop, on the street, down Billy Fury way too etc regularly but Camden NEVER do anything about this even though they have been informed. It is apparently forbidden to drink on the streets, (and on the tubes also), but this is NEVER addressed	Not Answered	Probably not, see above.	Unsure		
W1T 1QL	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure		Unsure	Though I understand the need to balance offering premises licence holders extended hours by 30 minutes in order to accommodate both the needs of the licence holders and the needs of the greater community, I believe there would be great opportunity in extending terminal hours by one full hour in order to support nightlife-oriented businesses.	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		
WC2H 9DA	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes		Yes	As serious operators we very much want to work with our locals to ensure we can meet their needs while also being given the space to run a successful business.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		
Nw1 7hj	Other	Yes	The policy supports night time economy businesses while promoting a safe environment for visitors and residents.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		
E2 8ER	I visit Camden regularly for shopping and recreation	No (please tell us more below)		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered
E10 7AD	I visit Camden regularly for shopping and recreation	No (please tell us more below)		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered
NW1 8XH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	In most respects I believe the proposed policy balances the interests of affected parties, however, by a blanket extension of Framework Hours and in some of the practicalities of enforcement, the improvements are undermined.	No (please tell us more below)	Long experience suggests that licence change proposals (of any kind) are insufficiently communicated to those who may be impacted. Advertising in local newspapers that few read, putting notices on lampposts which are pulled down, or remain in place long after closure of consultation periods, are examples of inadequate communication. Applicants rely on the fact that the public do not see, read or respond to these notices. The net effect is that the process is ineffective even if well intended.	Yes	We should be promoting safety of people regardless of gender. The problem of safety (women or men) requires societal changes in attitudes, education, knife carrying reduction - as well as policy pronouncements. In practice public house management putting up notices will not change behaviour.	Yes	The few practical steps that licensees can do (such as banning unacceptable comedians) are welcomed but again this is all part of wider societal attitudes and education, rather than about policy pronouncements.	Yes	Other than a policy statement of working towards compliance with the Equality Act I can see no specific encouragement in The Policy proposal for licensed venues to promote accessibility.	No (please tell us more below)	Business already operate within a sufficient hours framework, the local area is sufficiently lively and vibrant currently.	No (please tell us more below)	Pubs/bars are already very lively in Camden and I have a great time in them. I don't see why they need to be open any later - it will only harm residents.	No (please tell us more below)	days of the week is curiously outmoded in a borough which can be proud of its multi-ethnicity where Fridays, Saturdays and Sundays are special for some and where many people work on Saturdays and Sundays and at night - all of who are entitled an undisturbed sleep period and the opportunity for leisure and entertainment in non-standard hours.	Council officers have explained their enforcement and monitoring procedures which are well intended and aimed to be fair but reliance on these systems and procedures in all cases would be too cumbersome and slow when problems can be preempted by not extending Framework Hours in primarily residential areas of Camden.	In disputes between applicants and local residents complaining about the consequences of extending Framework Hours there is likely to be an imbalance between experienced, professional representatives of licensees and ordinary residents who do not have the experience, time or skills to make a coordinated representation of local views.	Yes	Yes	
NW3 2BL	I'm a resident	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		
W1T 2AT	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered	The extension of opening hours increases the hours of alcohol consumption, which increases the likelihood of inebriation, which creates noisy and disruptive behaviour that affects the entire neighbourhood. Extending the opening hours to midnight on weekdays (Mon-Thurs.), 12.30 on weekends (Fri-Sat), and 11.30 on Sundays makes the residents' lives hell. Those of us who attend school or work normal office hours need our sleep.	Not Answered		Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered		
Nw1 8QP	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		
E17 4IZ	I visit Camden regularly for shopping and recreation	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	The licensed premises are running successful businesses in framework hours. The council and police don't have the resources to enforce license breaches and late night drinking	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	
NW18XH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	No-I live in a residential area. The proposal to extend licensing hours across the borough doesn't take account of the needs of residents.	Unsure	Engagement can just mean listening to other people's opinions and then ignoring them.	Unsure	Probably allowing pubs to stay open later is slightly negative for women's safety, but it's hard to be sure.	Unsure	I have no expertise in this area.	Unsure	Seems sort of crazy that these are criteria.	Unsure	See above.	No (please tell us more below)	I live on a residential street and there is a pub on the corner, which is great. But closing time is already noisy, particularly on Fridays and Saturdays. Making it later makes that problem worse, for sure.	Unsure	I don't know enough about how enforcement and monitoring works in practice.	No (please tell us more below)	Camden is a very mixed place. Some parts are residential. Some parts have a night time economy. Having one policy for the whole borough doesn't make sense.	Unsure	Unsure	

NW1 BXH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	This is a blanket policy for the whole of Camden and doesn't take into account the different requirements of areas which already offer night time entertainment with residential areas which should be kept quiet, safe and clean for residents and children.	No (please tell us more below)	This is good in theory but is aimed at the applicant, the type of licence to apply for, etc., rather than the locality. There is very little mention of engaging with residents and of understanding the characteristics and requirements of the local area. It gives no guidance on how to do this such as announcements, flyers, notices, meetings, etc. The pre-application advice service is for the applicant and would be helpful if something similar could be offered to residents in order to alert them in advance and enable them to work with the applicants in a spirit of negotiation to ensure a good relationship.	No (please tell us more below)	Camden but a good intentions and policies are simply not good enough. Educational programmes and initiatives are useless when a woman is being harassed, followed home or had her drink spiked. It is very difficult to report harassment or threatening behaviour in a small space where the offending person is observing. CCTV, while a good idea, can only be useful after the event. Protection should be offered to bar staff as well as to customers. I have witnessed the young women bar staff in my local pub being abused and harassed by drunk men and trying hard to deal with them. I have also been told by women bar staff that they definitely don't want an increase in framework hours as this will mean more drinking and fewer people around when they have to walk home in the dark. It is unlikely that they will be able to voice these fears to their management, particularly when they have been instructed to 'monetise' the pub. How can the "Women's Safety venues to adopt measures that promote women's safety and responsible practices. Additionally, the Policy highlights the issue of alcohol-related harm, including drink spiking, and outlines preventative measures for licensed premises to create safer and more enjoyable environments for all". The use of the word "encouragement" suggests that it is not a set in stone boundary or agreement for businesses to adhere to actually looking after women. There should be actual detailed measures of what is needed from companies to prevent further harm to women so they know what to do. On top of this, there should be boundaries that businesses MUST adhere to in order to actually crack down on the crimes committed, such as contacting the police or having security in place. What will the police do if they are called to a business to help someone who is spiked? Will there be female staff and female security guards available to actively help those affected? Will there be set	No (please tell us more below)	This is a serious problem and again, it is good to see intentions to prevent it. However, it is unlikely that busy bar tenders would be able to spot this, particularly as the perpetrators will be discreet. It is also unlikely that a woman would report a suspected incident while the perpetrator is present. Surveillance cameras, while also a good thing, can only be useful after the event. Staff training and awareness - does Camden provide this? Do you require licence holders to give details and dates of staff training programmes? If not, then the intention is good but the application is useless if not carried out.	Unsure	again the intentions are good but the implementation and management are wholly inadequate. 4.34 Drugs and Psychoactive Substances: it is very difficult for bar staff to assess and prevent customers under the influence entering the premises; 4.35 Capacity: this is not monitored with numbers far outweighing the licence restriction. Licence holders will naturally want more customers. Does Camden ever monitor numbers? 4.37 Outside Drinking: a huge problem, particularly in summer when large numbers of customers stand outside the pub, obstructing the pavement, blocking access to pedestrians, wheelchair users, disabled people and families who are forced to walk in the road. 4.38 Crime and Disorder: drug dealing and taking, violence, vandalism; Public Safety: broken glass, rubbish from the pub spilled on the pavement including greasy liquids that could cause passers by to slip, pickpocketing; Public Nuisance: noise, windows open at all times of	No (please tell us more below)	residential area where it is completely wrong to extend hours. The extension will have a detrimental effect on Camden residents to have quiet enjoyment of their homes and allowing them to sleep at night to prepare for work or school the next day. Extending hours will inevitably lead to more drinking and more rowdy behaviour. It is already a major problem when noisy drinkers leave the pub and shout, scream, sing, smash glasses, urinate as they stand outside on the pavement continuing conversations or make their way slowly along the road, disturbing hundreds of other residents. It often take an hour for drinkers to disperse after closing hours so this extension means that rowdy behaviour and associated anti-social behaviour will inevitably continue until 3.30am. Pubs surrounded by houses should not be permitted to extend their hours, in fact, they should be curtailed. We know that bar staff cannot and will not control anti-social behaviour and	No (please tell us more below)	While enforcement and monitoring is essential, the issue is not evaluating but management of the premises on a daily basis. Bar staff are often young, transient, poorly trained and cannot, or will not comply with the range of rules and requirements or even know about them. This section is very vague with no details about 'encouragement, communication and training'. Instead of ensuring compliance from the outset, you are expecting local residents to complain when things go wrong and putting the onus on to them when they are the victims and not the perpetrators. The protocols for dealing with problems are vague and should be better advertised.	No (please tell us more below)	The licensing objectives to support the night-time economy conflict with allowing Camden citizens in residential areas to live in quiet, clean, safe areas between the hours of 6pm and 6am. The proposed changes should not be a one-size-fits-all but adapted to the various areas of Camden and their differing needs.	Unsure	The Conditions are good but as stated above, the theory falls well short of practice: Whether a district is a harmonious environment or a local nightmare is completely due to the daily management of the pubs and the behaviour of the customers. Your four aims: prevention of crime, public safety, prevention of nuisance and protection of children are dependent on the hours of operation of the licensed premises and how well the staff manage the pub and control the customers. The best way to prevent these problems is to limit opening hours and certainly not extend them, particularly in residential areas.			
WC2H 9PZ	I'm a member of a community group or organisation	Unsure	What evidence is there that businesses, customers and residents alike have agreed on these?	Yes		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	To address spiking within a business (and hopefully lower the amount it happens), the business itself needs to be trained on how spiking works, how people specifically spike and how to spot a potential predator. Will the staff and security be trained on this? What is the process if somebody is caught spiking? What will business add and continue to do to crack down on spiking? Adding a policy does not solve the issue if there is no solid plan in place given to the venues in order to follow through. We need action, not writing that is baseless.	No (please tell us more below)	For similar reasons as above, an actual action plan needs to be stated as to how specifically this will be tackled by businesses and how the government and local forces will help in aiding them with the correct training tools (alongside how the government will actively hold forces accountable. It is known how our police force can be sexist and highly contradicting with what they class as more dangerous as the other, so how will those managing these teams be holding them accountable and giving them appropriate training? What happens to those who offend this policy once, maybe twice, or are continually let off the hook despite not changing their attitude?)	No (please tell us more below)	Accessibility should cover things such as wheelchair access, products or staff readily available to help those who may have disabilities (both hidden and visible), or allow those who are not as able-bodied to have the same experience in the venue as everyone else. How will staff members be trained to protect those most vulnerable from violence? What are the repercussions of somebody who continues to target those who are more vulnerable? What further training will the forces and government be given to actually appropriately target this when they have a history of mishandling cases and people with disabilities?	No (please tell us more below)	Depending on customer feedback, businesses staying open later will keep staff working for longer hours as well as putting them at potential risk of also being targeted due to the later evenings or customers choosing to stay out later and drink more. What will be in place to protect members of staff on their commute back, and what boundaries are in place for customers who refuse to leave after one too many?	No (please tell us more below)	Stated above: it needs more detail and actionable tasks. Will the council be actively checking in on businesses, training them on said policy points? How will they be held accountable if they do not adhere to these apparent policies?	No (please tell us more below)	Stated above: it needs more detail and actionable tasks.	Unsure		
WC2H 9LN	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Licensing regulations should NOT be extended to increase hours, or make licensing at Seven Dials easier. WANT TO PROMOTE WOMEN'S SAFETY? HOW ABOUT SENDING SOME POLICE TO RUN OFF THE DRUG ZOMBIES WHO GATHER OUTSIDE OF CHOCOLAT ON MONMOUTH STREET, AND ALSO ON SHAFESBURY BETWEEN MCDONALDS AND DONER KEBAB. And how about arresting the dealers?	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	WANT TO PROMOTE WOMEN'S SAFETY? HOW ABOUT SENDING SOME POLICE TO RUN OFF THE DRUG ZOMBIES WHO GATHER OUTSIDE OF CHOCOLAT ON MONMOUTH STREET, AND ALSO ON SHAFESBURY BETWEEN MCDONALDS AND DONER KEBAB. And how about arresting the dealers?	No (please tell us more below)	How often does that even happen? Why not just mandatory prison time for someone who spikes a drink and then takes advantage of the victim?	Unsure	I have never observed hate or intolerance around Seven Dials - since I arrived in 2008.	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	People who want to drink late around Covent Garden can just cross Charing Cross Road to the dirtier, grittier Soho bar district. We don't need the crime and disorder that comes from late-night drinking in Covent Garden or Seven Dials.	Unsure				Unsure		
NWS 1PU	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	No locations should be selling alcohol beyond 11 and preferably never after 1am. Late night drinkers don't know how to be quiet or behave creating anti social activities and disturbing residents	Unsure	Just more bureaucracy. Just say no.	Unsure	Shorter opening hours means less risk.	Yes	No (please tell us more risk.	No (please tell us more below)	Same reasons a night time economy is expensive to police and is disproportionately disruptive	No (please tell us more below)	See above	No (please tell us more below)	Already stated above	No (please tell us more below)	We pay for the enforcement as residents. The more late night economy the higher our council tax.	No (please tell us more below)	As above	No (please tell us more below)	Just fiddling with details rather than reducing crime, it increases monitoring and policing costs. All too complex	
WC1H 9JE	I work in Camden	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Nw1 7AY	Other	Unsure	I agree with the draft policy. What is missing? I think it is that there should be an emphasis that a thriving nighttime environment is a positive aspect for the following reasons:  A vibrant nightlife provides young people with safe social spaces to connect and build friendships. It fosters creativity and culture, offering live music, arts, and events that inspire and entertain. Night-time jobs in hospitality and entertainment create employment opportunities and valuable experience. It supports mental well-being by giving young people a place to unwind and enjoy themselves. A thriving scene attracts diverse communities, encouraging inclusivity and cultural exchange. It boosts the local economy, making cities more dynamic and appealing for future generations.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	I think it should be extended by another 30-60 minutes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
NW1 8QP	I'm a premises licence holder	No (please tell us more below)	The 30-minute extension is inadequate for areas such as Camden Town. It will not provide the benefits required for existing and new late businesses looking to trade in the area. The Camden area is internationally known for its vibrant, inclusive hospitality scene. With the current proposal, we would not have a sufficient return on investment as a venue to stay open later.	No (please tell us more below)	The pre-application with authorities who objectively view applications has merit. We are concerned that it is an exercise in adding conditions to new businesses that wish to open in the area and this could be put off smaller operators.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Additional test kits and training should be offered to all venues in LB Camden	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No (please tell us more below)	30 minutes is insufficient and not business-friendly. Camden and London should return to being a place when the night time economy is thriving. 30 minutes is simply not adequate.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
NW1 8TU	I'm a resident	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	No (please tell us more below)	Extending opening hours only benefits businesses. It does not provide any community needs. No one is building churches after midnight. The draft policy needs to include other activities outside of licensing hours. For example, deliveries of barrels in the middle of the night is not helpful to anyone. Waste removal at 4 am should not be allowed (think thousands of glass bottles smashing into a rubbish truck). All activities of the venue need to take place during operating hours. There should be a difference between residential areas and non-residential areas. It isn't that hard.	No (please tell us more below)	Nothing happens. A small slap on the wrist - you need to increase your powers and act on them for infractions of the licenses.	Unsure	No (please tell us more below)	Why would you write bars applications for them? That is ridiculous.		

E9 SRX	I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)	to Camden's Night-Time Economy (NTE) is insufficient, especially for globally recognized areas like Camden Town and Seven Dials. Extensive public consultation showed strong support for a vibrant and diverse NTE, yet this minor change does little to meet the needs of businesses and visitors.  The council secured funding to create a business-friendly licensing approach, but the extension contradicts this goal. It risks pushing businesses into the late-night levy, adding financial and administrative burdens that only well-funded businesses can afford. This disproportionately impacts small businesses and grassroots cultural venues, which are essential to Camden's identity. The costs and bureaucracy involved in extending hours make the proposal economically unviable for most.  Additionally, the plan does not address critical safety and support	No (please tell us more below)	with responsible authorities can be beneficial if they are conducted objectively. However, if they become a tool to impose conditions on new businesses—only for residents and authorities to object anyway—it undermines the purpose and acts as a barrier to entry, particularly for small businesses, arts groups, and grassroots music venues.  Hospitality businesses already engage with their communities regularly, and any reputable business would seek to inform and build support among residents regarding licensing variations. However, a major concern is how the council would prevent frivolous or vexatious objections. Many residential complaints reference outdated concerns from previous business iterations.	No (please tell us more below)	LB Camden should immediately adopt Home Office guidance for spiking within its new Crime and Policing bill.  Training should be given to all venues on how to deal with incidents, isolate offending items, preserving evidence.  Police must work with premises to ensure better responses to reports of spiking, including prosecution.  Test kits should be readily available for all late night premises.  Camden should lead the charge to make the borough a hostile environment for these heinous criminals with a zero tolerance policy. All premises could get behind this and with better training and awareness a real good job could be done. It would be worth looking at how Canterbury did this after the saw a spike in incident post pandemic.	No (please tell us more below)	Camden is intolerant of intolerance but more could be done (more can always be done) to keep Hate and Intolerance out of Camden. this could include  - Better circulation of search tools for hate bands and artists. - Sharing of information so we do not sit in silos. - Training for bookers to spot problem acts - Information sharing about marches/demos into the Borough	No (please tell us more below)	More detail is needed to help venues become more accessible and welcoming to people with access needs in Camden. LB Camden should collaborate with Attitude is Everything to promote the importance of a positive mindset and proactive approach in creating a more inclusive environment for all.	No (please tell us more below)	The council secured a grant to create a business-friendly licensing framework, yet the proposed 30-minute extension is far from supportive. Even if a business successfully navigates pre-application, the 28-day consultation, and planning approval, it would still fall into the late-night levy for just one extra hour of trading per week. Additionally, planning permissions would need to be amended, adding further costs and administrative burdens. The fees, time, and effort required far outweigh the potential return on investment, making it unfeasible for all but the most well-funded businesses. This approach fails to support small businesses and grassroots cultural venues, making the policy ineffective by design.	Yes	Unsure	No (please tell us more below)	additional CCTV requirements, while deep-discounting supermarkets—despite not being responsible—face just 20+ CCTV conditions. This stark disparity suggests that supermarkets once again evade serious scrutiny or accountability.  Why is a small grassroots music venue held to a higher standard than a supermarket selling alcohol in bulk at low prices?  While conditions may be presented as guidance, they risk becoming a barrier to entry if businesses are forced to accept every condition to operate in certain areas. This mirrors the restrictive impact of Cumulative Impact Policies, where only well-funded, high-volume vertical drinking establishments could afford to comply. The consultation must avoid creating similarly burdensome regulations.
NW1 8XB	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	The noise from people leaving licensed premises in Regent's Park Road is already very great. It is impossible to sleep once this starts. Most residents close to Regent's Park Road would agree that the shouting and screaming as people wander back down the after pubs closing is already great. But at least it is mainly at 11pm. If it was closer to 1am this would be intolerable. And on weekdays when people will be working it would now be closed to midnight. This might be okay for Camden High Street, but not for this residential area.	Yes	Hopefully you will take into account the views of the local residents.	Yes		Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	No (please tell us more below)	I have explained this above...	Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	Not Answered	
n15 3al SE249NE	I'm a member of a community group or organisation Other	Unsure Yes	We are supportive of the majority of the policy but feel its need more pro active support of the night time and cultural sector.	Unsure Yes	The pre application is a useful tool if used in similar way to the planning process. I.e for new and undeveloped sites. For existing venues that paid businesses rates and licensing fees the expectation is this advice should be provided by stake holders as part of their day to days duties. Charging a fee it an unnecessary burden on business and a bit of money grab.	Yes Yes	We are very supportive of the Women Safety Charter as an organisation so fully support it inclusion and you the work Camden are doing on the scheme.	Not Answered Yes	Yes we fully support this work. Yes	Yes Yes	Yes we fully support this work. Yes	Yes we fully support this work. Yes	No (please tell us more below) Yes	out dated and doesn't really work for venue especially in the grassroots music sector. Operating hours should be considered on a case by case basis in line with the premises ability to promote the four licensing objectives and depending on the suitability of the location. In reality almost all old on licenses in the Town Centre have longer hours that the frame work hours anyway. Grassroots music venues which is what Camden is world famous for are in trouble and once a grassroots music venue closes it does not reopen and this has had a devastating impact on local communities up and down the country cutting off access to culture. The average Grassroots Music Venue is operating on a 0.2% profit margin which when you then factor in rising energy prices, new national insurance increases, rent reviews, pre-profit taxation, volatile trading conditions, and mercurial advance ticket sales begins to give you a sense of how difficult is to operate a	Not Answered Yes	Not Answered Yes	Yes Yes
NW1 8PG	I'm a resident	Yes	I support later licensing hours and the safety measures mentioned above: Responsible Alcohol Service, Enhanced Security Measures, Comprehensive Staff Training and Drink Spiking Prevention.  A vibrant and active nightlife is good for the area, and the local economy, and the neighbourhood is safer when it is busy at night, with lots of people around rather than having mostly empty streets late at night.  It's better, safer and less likely to cause a nuisance and disturbance for people to be able to drink and enjoy themselves in regulated, professionally managed venues, rather than being pushed away to house parties and at home drinking.  Although I'm now older with kids and don't go out as much, I fully support having a vibrant nighttime economy in London and the local area.	Unsure		Unsure	Anything that can improve women's safety at night is a really good thing. I'm not sure how effective the proposals will be but it is definitely a step in the right direction.	Unsure	As far as I can tell.	Unsure	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Unsure	I haven't looked at these in detail
N7 0BX	I'm a resident	Unsure	As a single women, I find streets much safer when there are people around and there are lots of licensed options for meeting & socialising with friends that stay open late, and at different hours. I think it's very unreasonable that small numbers of residents are able to stifle the night time economy which is best managed by responsible license holders in our pubs, bars, restaurants and venues. Camden specifically (and to an extent London generally) is losing out to cities all over Europe in this respect. Across Europe there is an understanding that everyone has a place, not just the elderly, not just the young, not just families. Camden has an opportunity to support this with fair treatment of licensing applications.	Unsure	It is difficult to decipher the proposal	Unsure	It is vital and the policy has plenty of details but this probably relies heavily on police / govt action; ultimately the main ways I feel safe are attentive staff, varied closing hours so streets aren't either silent or packed but steadily busy with plenty of	Not Answered		Yes	Yes	No (please tell us more below)	Hours still feel limited, as do options to drink responsibly in a non-nightclub environment. Pubs are the safest and most accessible places for late night socialising	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	
NW1 8LH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Totally insufficient consultation with local residents is weighted in favour of businesses	Unsure	There has not been adequate engagement with the local community	Unsure	In the meeting I went to it was suggested by the person from the council that we video pub disturbances This suggestion demonstrates a total lack of awareness of women's safety issues!	Unsure		Unsure	No (please tell us more below)	Many of us our already frequently disturbed by noise from local pubs with the existing licensing hours This means we will get even less sleep	No (please tell us more below)	I have been down to my local pub several times late at night during disturbances The staff are apologetic but unable to control their inebriated customers	No (please tell us more below)	Unsure	Unsure





NW1 7BF	I'm a resident	Yes	Camden needs it's nightlife but it needs regulation. I would add as a disabled resident I would hope that the council would ensure that all new venues given licences were accessible or at least had plans to make them so.	Yes		Yes	The safety of women is essential but I would add that for disabled women the danger is greatly increased. Camden court lead the way showing how all vulnerable groups can be safe at night.	Yes		I hope that venues that are not accessible or have plans to become accessible are considered to be acting in a way that could be considered a hate crime as well as against the equality act. Using licensing as a tool to create change to make Camden a more accessible place would gain lead the way and create a global accessible tourist attraction.	Yes				Vital to ensure venues stick to the agreed licensing requirements.	Yes		Unsure		
NW1 7RR	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	The proposed policy allows the sale of alcohol over additional hours which will lead to an increase in anti social behaviour. The Council does not have a successful track record of addressing anti social behaviour issues in our area. There is nothing to suggest the proposals will enhance measures to support the needs of residents.	Unsure	The Council have not provided any details of what "engagement" will look like, on which issues people or organisations will be consulted, how decision will be made and what outcomes are envisaged from the proposal.	Unsure	The measures will only be effective if they happen. The question is wrong as it assumes adoption of the measures equals better outcomes.	Unsure	The measures place emphasis that the licensed premises are well run and adequate training will take place.	No (please tell us more below)	This is completely unnecessary. People choose to visit a licensed premises or not. How can you police inclusivity. One venue may cater to a completely different demographic than another. Issues such as racism etc are already adequately covered by legislation.	No (please tell us more below)	I do feel this type out proposal is meaningless and is an attempt to detract from the core aims of the changes in the Councils policy which is to allow premises to open longer which increases the risk of anti social behaviour.	No (please tell us more below)	There is already significant issues with anti social behaviour as a result of the concentration of licensed venues in the area. Residents are already experiencing increased intrusion and disturbance. Examples are koto and establishments near by as Jungla on Delancey St.	No (please tell us more below)	The Council do not have a track record of effective enforcement in a number of areas. Examples are dog fouling, litter, cyclists in pavements, fly tipping, drug taking. There is no evidence the Council have the resources, skills and desire to effectively implement monitoring and enforcement.	No (please tell us more below)	See 13.	Unsure
N6 68A	I'm a member of a community group or organisation	Yes		Yes		Unsure		Unsure		Yes				No (please tell us more below)	Our Association is opposed to increasing the Framework Hours by 30 minutes. We appreciate that businesses need to make a profit to remain viable but do not consider this increase is necessary. Residents are entitled to peace and quiet in their own homes and the current hours are a reasonable balance.	Yes		Yes	Yes	
ME2 2HZ	I work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)	I took part in survey last year, where views were given that night-time economy requires support. The consultation also mentioned about business friendly licensing, this does not seem very business friendly.	Unsure		Yes	More needs done to make the high speed safer, venues are safer then the streets currently	No (please tell us more below)	More needs to be done to support pubs, and more police on streets at night to deter spiking in Camden	Yes	Camden is better then most, in Camden they call it out.	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	30 minutes in extra time is not business friendly, culture venues especially require later hours for survival	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	Over burden on businesses who did not accept all conditions. Not all businesses are the same	
sw16 5ar	I work in Camden	Yes	Yes but businesses do need more support as a healthy night time economy benefits Camden	Unsure	If it over burdens smaller business only large chains will come into Camden, we want more diverse cultural venues in Camden	Yes	More needs to be done to protect women by having more police on the street, Camden pubs and bars are quite safe	Unsure		Yes	In Camden we call it out	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	30 minutes extra time for smaller businesses is nowhere near enough. More must be allowed for smaller cultural diverse venues	Yes		Yes	Unsure	
Nw18AF	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes	It is, but more needs to be done for businesses to drive the footfall at night time	Yes	special help should be given to small businesses	Yes	More police on the streets, please	Yes	Testing kits for bars would be helpful	Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	30 mins extra is welcome, but not enough	Yes		Yes	Yes	
WS 4XJ	I'm a personal licence holder	No (please tell us more below)	More help needs to be given to attract cultural business' to Camden and this policy does not fulfill this.	Yes	But special help should be given to small business' and culture venues to encourage them into the borough.	Yes	But more needs to be done to make the streets of Camden safe at night. THE VENUES ARE SAFER THAN THE STREETS! More money should be given to the police to make Camden safe again.	Yes	more support must be given to licensed premises and police to drive spiking out of Camden, e.g. test kits	Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	The first draft of the policy offering 2 hours was very encouraging. Only the best operators would have received this license, not everyone. To discover that only 30 minutes is being offered is not business friendly licensing.	Yes		Yes	Yes	
N7 ODR	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Residents - Yes Businesses - No - not enough help for small cultural businesses Visitors - do not get enough choice how this policy affects them, especially late at night. The current policy strikes a fair balance, but the revised version disrupts that, making things worse overnight and in the early morning.  It also treats public nuisance as less important than other licensing concerns, even though paragraph 4.17 states that all four objectives are equally important. The Council emphasises reducing crime, keeping visitors safe, and protecting children (paragraphs 4.18, 4.41, and 4.51), but when it comes to residents, paragraph 4.44 only asks that businesses don't unreasonably interfere with their comfort.  To be consistent, paragraph 4.44 should say: "The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and does not unreasonably interfere with the personal comfort or amenity of	No (please tell us more below)	I am a personal licence holder and DPS on premises in Camden. I think pre application with council officers and police is a good idea. Less good is the idea of pre-app with residents. Consultation with the community is constant, we call them customers and we value them.	No (please tell us more below)	Women will be safe in the venues but not once they leave them. More must be done to make Camden safer for women as more women will visit and drive up standards of better behaviour.	No (please tell us more below)	More must be done to support venues to drive spiking out of Camden. It must be zero tolerance bit with no police around who supports the businesses?	Yes	Yes, in Camden we call it out.	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	No, who is going to apply for 1 extra hour a week? The return on investment will be years and activists will oppose every application flooding committees and adding expense. You will then enter into Late Night Levy charging. This is not business friendly.	Yes		Yes	Yes	
WC2B 5AA	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered				No (please tell us more below)	Businesses have always been able to apply for extended hours, as long as they show they won't harm Licensing Objectives like Public Nuisance and Crime & Disorder. The current Framework Hours strike a reasonable balance, ending at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day, but the proposal shifts everything 30 minutes later, without requiring proof that this won't affect neighbours. This changes the balance between businesses and the community, particularly at night when people need to sleep. The existing Framework Hours should stay. If the hours are changed, the policy must make it clear that the main concern is upholding Licensing Objectives. We suggest revising Paragraph 5.8 to read: "Regardless of proposed hours, applicants must consider risks and offer conditions to support Licensing Objectives, particularly preventing crime, disorder, and public nuisance."	No (please tell us more below)	The current monitoring and enforcement approach makes sense but isn't working. Some businesses have been operating outside their permitted hours for years, despite being noticed by the police and appearing at hearings to apologise, only to repeat the offence. No effective action has been taken. If the Licensing Authority doesn't address this, others may feel they can operate without a licence too. We suggest adding the following point to Paragraph 14.18: "Before deciding on action, we will consider whether allowing the offence to continue is likely to encourage others to imitate it."	Not Answered	Not Answered	
NW1 8BX	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Residents are already subject to the most horrendous levels of disturbance, anti-social behaviour, crime, etc, as a result of the current licensing regime associated with the Night Time Economy. Further relaxation of this regime is a kick in the teeth for local residents. Residents in Camden Town are already subject on a daily basis to public nuisance, noise disturbance, anti-social behaviour, crime, etc, associated with the markets; so to make this worse by loosening NTE regulations is unforgivable.	No (please tell us more below)	In the past, I have found these consultations with local residents to be cursory and going through the motions. They are pretend 'engagements'. If local communities had been listened to over the years regarding the NTE or the markets, we would not be in the terrible situation local residents are in now with the diminishing of their quality of life. Having been ignored for years and years over what we'd like to happen to our area, why should local residents now think the council will take into account our opinions or interests?	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure			No (please tell us more below)	Under what circumstances does extending licensing hours benefit local residents? It will simply add to the already horrendous disturbance, crime and anti-social behaviour local residents already endure as a result of the NTE.	No (please tell us more below)		Unsure	Unsure		
WC2B 5AA	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)		Unsure	So long as these are conducted with a common sense approach and do not reduce new licensing activities and timings in areas that already have similar or later licenses that are being newly applied for.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		So long it is conducted in a common sense manner that takes in fully the area the unit is trading in and a balanced out come for the residents ,council and the business to continue to trade successfully so as the economic return still benefits all parties and London in whole so as to maintain the capital world wide attraction.	Unsure	Yes		
NW1 8YE	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Artistic, creative businesses need to be encouraged to come into Camden more, to create a more exciting and rich experience of Camden for both residents and visitors alike. This is not encouraged by this policy.	No (please tell us more below)	Pre-application should be for professionals to make the right decisions, not residents. The engagement with local communities by businesses happens all the time, but it does not need to be part of this process on top of that.	Unsure	Bars and venues should be required to be safe, but more needs to be done to ensure that women like myself feel safe walking the streets at night. I would rather be in a bar/venue at night than on the streets and currently my only option outside of those contexts is walking quickly and/or in a group, which is only enforced by myself.	Yes	It does, but more needs to be done for such a serious issue. Camden needs to be zero tolerance - more testing kits, more training etc...	Yes	Yes, in Camden we call it out.	Yes		No (please tell us more below)	Its really not cost effective, especially for smaller, independent, upcoming businesses to stay open for such a small amount of time. And it doesn't balance the need of the community either. Nobody would chose to go out in Camden and residents who want to have to travel, which makes it unsafe getting home.	Yes		Yes	Yes	

ENS 4IN	I work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)	Artistic and Creative businesses should be more encouraged to come in to Camden and this will service their interests of Camden. This policy does not meet the interest of business and therefore a more vibrant and creative policy will meet the interest of business and residents.	No (please tell us more below)	In my view the preapp stage is aimed more at professionals and there is plenty of time for consultation in the 28 day period of consultation. Good licensed businesses are always engaging with customers all the tie	Unsure		The licensed businesses are on the whole safe for women in my opinion however it is more the streets which are unsafe for many women.	Yes		However Camden needs to be zero tolerance. Greater poster campaign work and working closer with the police would be helpful.	Yes		Yes	Camden is very good with intolerance and Camden calls it out when it has evidence it is occurring.	No (please tell us more below)	30 minutes is too short and this will not attract new creative businesses into the borough. It really should be 2 hours to make it worth the while of new start up creative businesses especially at the weekend.	Yes		Yes	Yes			
NW1 8AH	I'm a premises licence holder	No (please tell us more below)	Premise licencing in Camden is not business friendly. It is expensive and time consuming and always goes to committee even for a food project like mine, closing early. This is not balanced.	Unsure	Residents get the chance to object to everything in Camdens licencing. I do not think the community needs involvement in pre application.	Yes			Yes			Yes		Yes		Yes			Yes		Yes			
hp234bh	Other	No (please tell us more below)	It is difficult to get a licence in Camden. This does not help attract new business in fact it makes it more difficult and expensive. This does not give business a chance to develop and be part of the great Camden Nighttime economy.	Unsure	I see community inclusion as a negative in pre-application. Pre application will make better applications but residents will always object so we go to a hearing anyway which is long and expensive. There is no point in involving community in my opinion.	Yes			Yes			Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	30 more minutes does not seem worth the bother. The expense and every application will go to a hearing is a financial drain. We need more time to trade bit all this expense for 1 hour a week is not going to be business friendly.	Yes		Yes	Yes			
n20dq	I'm a non-licensed business	No (please tell us more below)	as a business owner this does not look balanced. The application process in Camden is onerous with residents groups objecting to everything so we end up in committee anyway. This is not balanced, this is weighted in favour of activist "resident groups" who say they speak for their residents when we all know this is not true. We go to committee and this is expensive. No, this is not business friendly and when you surveyed residents who are not normally consulted the picture about our businesses was supportive. We are a food business, closing early and had to go to a committee to get a permit to operate. Crazy.	Unsure	Why would this be necessary to be implemented? It's seems like another hurdle to jump	Yes		Local authorities is a good idea as the process would be helpful and better applications would result but we will still go to committee because resident groups will still object to everything. I am unsure the objectives of the licencing authority will be achieved because of resident groups.	Yes		More needs to be done to address this and police need to react to incidents quickly.	Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	Nobody is going to apply for 1 hour a week. It will be only available to drinking establishments which we have plenty of already. We were told 2 hours and now we are down to 30 minutes which I am sure the usual suspects will be angry about anyway even with the 90 minutes reduction from the hours originally proposed.	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		
NW1 8AL	I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)		Yes			Yes			Yes		Yes		Yes					Yes	Yes		
W1W 8BE	I'm a resident	Yes		Yes		Yes			Yes			Yes		Yes		Yes					Yes	Yes		
NW1 8QH	I'm a premises licence holder	No (please tell us more below)	other boroughs for new business and visitors. These businesses help define the Borough and add value to the area as a result. This benefits residents in a multitude of ways and helps the borough maintain its place as a worldwide destination. The borough is falling behind other more enlightened Boroughs with the unintended consequences of a renewal every 3 years of Cumulative Impact Policies.  It is very welcome that this has been removed but this policy does not balance the interests of business. The work carried out last year with the citizens assemblies was very interesting as it told a very different story to that normally heard in licensing, where activist anti-licensing groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises Licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of	No (please tell us more below)	Involvement with the authorities pre-application is common elsewhere and works very well. I would not advocate giving communities a quasi-responsible authority status. This would be colonized very quickly by groups who do not want growth, do not want licensed premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact over-riding businesses with onerous conditioning.  There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.	Unsure		The burden for making woman safe in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.	Yes		Camden does this very well.	Yes		Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)	No business is likely to accept the burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.	Yes		Yes	No (please tell us more below)	No matter how many conditions new businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses off coming into Camden if this happens.
Se220jp	I work in Camden	Yes	The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.	Yes	In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.	Yes			Yes			Yes		Yes		Yes					Yes	Yes		
E16 3QA	I'm a personal license holder	Yes	Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors. Residents when surveyed last year and at the assemblies said businesses need support to keep Camden vibrant. This policy could have been bolder giving real help and assistance to grass roots music venues in particular.	Yes	Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/customers at all times. This is informal and friendly.	Yes		We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe as our pub	Yes		A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials ( Test kits) and training where available.	Yes		Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes	Not Answered	Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.	Yes			Yes	Not Answered	As long as they are a guideline and don't become mandatory.
W3 7NW	I work in Camden	Yes		No (please tell us more below)	The authorities in re-application would be welcome. I am not sure what role communities can have as they are consulted in the application process.	Yes		As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid in licensed premises but I am afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm, more police please.	Unsure		All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits and glass cover should be provided.	Yes		Yes	Camden is very good at this.	Yes	No (please tell us more below)	I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look balanced. Can an hour be given.	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
W9 3EN	I work in Camden	Unsure	Camden's cultural and grass roots smaller businesses need to flourish. Everyone benefits. Cumulative Impact Policies had unintended consequences which has not allowed competition. The removal is welcome. The night time economy needs support and your survey and citizens forums from last year cautiously supported well run night time businesses. This is not balanced in my view.	Unsure	Authorities- yes - this will make applications better but almost every application for alter hours will go to committee anyway so what's the point when residents are consulted already.  Communities - not formally in pre-app	Yes		Yes, but I am safer inside the premises than I am on the street walking to the station or bus stop. This helps but is not effective enough in ensuring safety in Camden, just its premises.	Yes			Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)					Yes	Yes		

n19nw	I work in Camden	Yes	I think re removal of Cumulative impact will have a positive affect but am disappointed to see the extra hours reduced so much. The people who complain about night tie businesses do not represent the views of all Camdeners, you know as much from your citizen forums where you asked people not usually included in the conversation. What such a hasty retreat? This is not balanced in my view.	Yes	Formal engagement with the authorities will help smaller businesses make better applications but it is almost certain that applications will still go to hearings which are time consuming and expensive. This does not help small businesses who get frustrated by the process which is complex already. The hostility of residents to the most simple of applications, food led day-time with dozens of conditions volunteered will still continue in Camden. Communities already have a say in any consultation period- this cannot be formalized in pre-application process. a design for failure.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Answered	No business is going to the expense and pain of pre-app, 28 day consultation, hearing then possibly an extra hour or two with extra conditions for the sake of an extra hour of so a week. The only businesses which will do this is well resourced business and Camden has enough of these already. Camden is built on small independent late night venues. This discourages growth.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
nw3 2pt	I'm a resident	Yes		Yes		Yes								Yes						
NW5 1EU	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Alcohol in any quantity is harmful. While I appreciate national legislation does not allow health considerations as a licensing objective, it seems counterintuitive that an organisation which has responsibility for public health and promoting the health of its residents would choose to relax restrictions on alcohol sales, given the harm that could be expected as a result.	Yes		Unsure								No (please tell us more below)	Alcohol in any quantity is harmful. While I appreciate national legislation does not allow health considerations as a licensing objective, it seems counterintuitive that an organisation which has responsibility for public health and promoting the health of its residents would choose to relax restrictions on alcohol sales, given the harm that could be expected as a result.	Unsure		Unsure	Yes	
W1T 5NT	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Residents always come last. Business and visitor interests are always prioritised.	Unsure		Unsure								No (please tell us more below)	Longer hours have no benefit for residents who are already adversely affected by the ever increasing number of licensed premises and the associated crime, noise and antisocial behaviour.	No (please tell us more below)	No confidence in these proposals. Enforcement has always been poor.	No (please tell us more below)		Unsure
WC1B3QA	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	I am concerned about the effects of noise, inebriation and disturbance to residents by the proposal to allow premises licensed to sell alcohol for consumption on-site to be extended by 30 minutes, with terminal hours now set at midnight from Monday to Thursday, 12.30 a.m. on Fridays and Saturdays, and 11:00 p.m. on Sundays. Clearly most residents don't want to be kept awake until midnight or 12.30 am and it is unreasonable of the council to consider this	No (please tell us more below)	rule with respect to the statement of Licensing Policy Statement 2022-2027, Chapter 6 sets out the cumulative impact policy stating that there is already a too high number type and density of premises selling alcohol and that serious nuisance, noise and disorder arises outside or some distance from those premises. It also states that you will normally refuse an application or variation of existing licence which is likely to add to the existing cumulative impact. Yet you allowed a premise within the Seven Dials Cumulative Area to have a licence. Under para 6.20 of your policy, the applicant has to state why they consider the application to be an exception to the policy. There was no evidence that he had done this. You also state in your policy that	Unsure								No (please tell us more below)	I have already explained why I believe this will have a detrimental effect on residents	No (please tell us more below)	You do not follow your own guidelines	Unsure	Unsure	
W1T 2AG	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	The extension of licensing hours will lead to more drunkenness and more late night noise and disturbance to residents	Yes		Unsure								No (please tell us more below)	The extension of licensing hours will lead to more drunkenness and more and later night-time noise and disturbance to residents. Combined with the greater willingness of the Council to grant late licences to new premises it will make things a lot worse for residents. For example, the Council gave a late licence to a restaurant that was opened on the ground floor of the block of flats where I live even though previous occupants of the commercial premises had not served alcohol or opened late. The council even allowed outdoor service. That was bad enough with the current licensing hours. It would be even worse with the new.	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure	
w1t 2b)	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	It is totally not in the interest of residents. The extra 30 minutes will mean that we will be woken at a later time by intoxicated customers shouting once they leave a licensed premises	Not Answered		Unsure								No (please tell us more below)	It is totally not in the interest of residents. The extra 30 minutes will mean that we will be woken at a later time by intoxicated customers shouting once they leave a licensed premises. This will drive residents out of our area. The noise at closing time is already getting to unbearable levels, so extending that by 30 minutes will affect the sleep of my family and all the other local residents.	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure	
WC1E 6W	I'm a member of a community group or organisation	No (please tell us more below)	It affects residents by potentially adding thirty minutes to licences.	Yes		Yes								No (please tell us more below)	Half an hour extension will affect residents' ability to sleep.	No (please tell us more below)	Needs more rigidity	Yes	Yes	

mw2 7NH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	In Delaney Street is the continual impact on them late of night. The existing Policy is reasonably balanced. The revised Framework Hours Policy destroys that balance late at night and in the early morning. The Policy also treats the Public Nuisance Licensing Objective differently than the other objectives. Prevention of Crime and Disorder (4.18) We are committed to reducing crime and disorder across the borough and ensuring that Camden is a safe place that is a vibrant part of London. The Council's vision is to reduce crime and the fear of crime across the borough by working with partners and the communities we serve. Public Safety (4.41) The Licensing Authority expects visitors and performers to be kept safe on licensed premises. Prevention of Public Nuisance (4.44)	Unsure	The emphasis on the pre-application advice service is welcome. An applicant that makes use of the service will most likely submit a better quality application. Early engagement with residents and responsible authorities always benefits applicants. However this cannot be required of applicants, and so will improve it only for those applicants who take advantage of it. If the number is low then there will be little overall benefit.	Yes	The measures that are being encouraged will be effective as long as they are properly implemented. Recent studies on 'Ask for Angela', for example, have shown that whilst premises claim to have implemented these types of measures they have not been done effectively. It is important that there is a process of ensuring that they are in place through covert visits to premises. Where they form part of Licensing Conditions they also need to be enforced.	Yes	These measures need to be enforced in order to ensure effectiveness. There need to be regular covert visits.	Unsure	Not sure now this is checked.	No (please tell us more below)	There is no mention of accessibility in the Hate and intolerance section of the policy.	No (please tell us more below)	This does not create a balance of interests between businesses and residents.	Unsure	Whilst the approach to monitoring and enforcement is a common sense one it is not currently effective. There are examples of premises that have been operating outside their permitted hours for several years. They have been observed to do so by the Police, and statements have been made. They have appeared at Licensing hearings asking for extensions, apologised, said it is a mistake, and then done it again. Still no effective action has been taken. If the Licensing Authority continues to permit unlicensed activity to continue then others will also decide that they can take the risk of operating without a licence. An additional point should be included in the list in 14.18 as follows: whether, if no action is taken, it is likely to have a negative impact on the behaviour of others who may seek to imitate the offence.	No (please tell us more below)	to be effective the measures require resources from all of the Responsible Authorities and a way in which residents can report, effectively, issues to the Council and have it recorded and investigated. One of the major issues associated with Licensed Premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to Cumulative Impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the Licensing Objectives and so take adequate action	Unsure	
W1T 2BJ	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	We are already dangerously on the cusp of Fitzrovia becoming an overflow of Soho - and any extension of licensing hours will turn an area that just about has a finely tuned tolerable balance of residents (this is a highly residential area) and the leisure drinking/dining sector into something very different indeed. Recent reports by the World Health Organisation tells us the medical harm caused by the drinking of alcohol - with this knowledge available to Camden it is mystifying as to why Camden Council is proposing actions that would lead to greater consumption of dangerous alcohol. And all this without even touching on the problems caused by drunken customers leaving late at night - an increased threat of violence, sleep disturbed for residents, the detritus left by intoxicated patrons (who regularly use Colville Place as a lavatory)	Unsure	the consultation re the redevelopment of Crabtree Fields was long and expensive - but ultimately residents concerns were not acted upon. It seems that a consultation is enough	No (please tell us more below)	even considering an extension of licensing hours shows that Women's safety at night is something for debate	No (please tell us more below)	as per above. The World Health Organisation states that any drinking of alcohol is injurious to health - Camden wouldn't be suggesting increasing smoking - but Camden is suggesting greater alcohol consumption	No (please tell us more below)	when people are drunk they are more likely to be abusive. as a gay man I know this to my cost	Unsure		Yes	we are already at a tipping point in Fitzrovia - the long term residential community who lives here, and have chosen to live here, enjoy the mix of business, leisure and residential living. however a further push into the lucrative 'nighttime economy' will massively impact on the lives of residents. also many commercial premises suffer from the drunken behaviour of other commercial premises customers as I've said there is a very fine balance in Fitzrovia between residents and commerce which just about works. allowing alcohol serving premises to open longer will damage that balance	Unsure			Unsure		
CR7 8QZ	I work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)	We were expecting business friendly licensing and some of it is but on balance what was originally proposed has been cut back in favour of complaining residents. This holds back innovation and denies visitors to Camden a border experience.	Yes	Authorities - yes Communities - no - This would be hi-jacked by negative energy against night time business.	Yes	More must be done to support businesses coming into Camden, especially arts and culture spaces and where alcohol is ancillary to entertainment.	Yes	More needs to be done, more police at night on the high street	Yes		Yes	No (please tell us more below)	30 minutes extra is not enough. Please consider one hour. Special dispensation should be given to non alcohol led premises to open later so we have a more safe diverse offering with more diverse footfall. This does not help attract new business into the market.	Yes		Yes	Yes			
HA9 7AF	I work in Camden	Yes		No (please tell us more below)	Unsure	Yes	how the revised framework hours policy will impact them late at night and into the early morning. We think the current policy is well balanced and meets the needs of the night time economy and residents.  We do not think the revised hours are balanced and would appear to be skewed in favor of businesses to the detriment of local residents.  Looking at the four licensing objectives we note that the Council is committed to the first three but the working on the final objective states "the Council only expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents".  We believe that Para 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects that the licensed premises will operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with the personal comfort or amenity of	Unsure	As long as the measures being proposed are properly implemented, and enforced then we believe they will be effective.  Although a number of venues support the "Ask for Angles" initiative, in reality, the implementation is not always consistent. Where this forms part of a License Condition it needs to be enforced with regular covert visits to ensure compliance.	Yes	The measures that are being encouraged will be effective as long as they are properly implemented.  It is important that there is a process of ensuring that they are in place through covert visits to premises.  Where they form part of Licensing Conditions they also need to be enforced.	Unsure	Accessability is mentioned only in Section 1.36 (Page 14) and not in the Hate and intolerance section.	No (please tell us more below)	30 minutes extra for Camden Town is not business friendly, sorry but this is Camden.  no longer need to show that they can stay open up the 30 minutes later without causing problems for their neighbours.  This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep. The Framework Hours, whilst imperfect, should remain the same.  If Framework Hours are to be changed then the accompanying Policy needs to make clear that the paramount consideration is whether or not the Licensing Objectives will be upheld.  We therefore propose that Camden change Paragraph 5.8 as follows: Whatever the hours within which Licenseable Activities are proposed, we expect the applicant to consider the risk and volunteer appropriate conditions in their operating schedule to promote the licensing	Yes	Enforcement and monitoring is essential. We do not believe that currently enforcement is effective, with examples of businesses operating outside framework hours for many years with no action taken by the licensing authority.  An additional point should be included in the list in 14.18 as follows: whether, if no action is taken, it is likely to have a negative impact on the behaviour of others who may seek to imitate the offence.	No (please tell us more below)	The approach proposed is adequate, but to be effective, it will require involvement from all of the Responsible Authorities and in a way in which allows residents to easily report issues to the Council and for them to be recorded and investigated effectively.	Unsure	Please note that Appendix 5 describes them as Model Conditions and not Example Conditions as described in this question.  The list of Model Conditions will be helpful for all those involved in the Licensing process but we think the current list of 182 conditions is unwieldy.  Better for the model conditions to be a separate document to allow updates rather than an appendix to the SoLP
NW1 7NE	I'm a member of a community group or organisation	No (please tell us more below)		Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Camden is already very good at this.	Yes		As above	Yes			Yes			Yes		
NW1 8AF	Other	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes						Yes			Yes		
WC2B 5AA	I visit Camden regularly for shopping and recreation	Unsure	Will this policy cover small independent off licenses in the Holborn /Covent garden area that seem to operate rogue serving hours and I regularly see anti social behavior being caused by rickshaw operators or those who are too drunk to be admitted into bars who operate after 11pm as they been served by same ?	Unsure	See above	Yes	All the bars I use seem safe and regularly see both single independent business woman and those out on there own socially enjoying having a drink trouble free or staff stepping in immediately if something seems not right.	Unsure	But feel outside drinking and eating areas should be allowed later trading hours as this allows those who tec or for faith reasons cannot physically enter a restaurant or bar but can enjoy there services in same which would also have the knock on effect of making these streets safer and enhance Point 8 above objectives.	Yes		Feel currently the night life in general is very cosmopolitan integrated and safe but again feel extending operating hours of and extending alfresco areas in the whole of Camden would improve this tenfold.	Yes	Feel this would naturally stagger timings of all customers leaving bars reduce noise and footfall of same etc as not all units would auto use these proposed framework hours as might not be commercial viable.	Yes	But would need to take into consideration the general trading hours of where the unit is operating in, if it is the sole cause of the complaint as could be combination of all units but they been ID only in error and reason for the enforcement /not coming from one source that regularly complains about everything licensing and none licensing.	Unsure	Know nothing about this type of application but so long as a common sense approach is used and the business plan and idea enhance the area and they given the correct under takings for all parties then feel it should be granted			
N22 7TH	I'm a personal licence holder	Yes	Business needs more than 30 minutes as there are only 2 busy nights a week.	Yes	RA's yes Communities - not at this stage. Advise at pre app needs to be clear and simple and objective	Yes	Venues should take more responsibility in and around their venue after each evening as well. More duty of care please.	Yes		Yes			No (please tell us more below)	Each application should be viewed on its merits and have an ability to have longer hours if the application is suitable. 30 minutes doesn't feel like a lot.	Yes		Yes	Yes			
NW1 8AH	Other	Yes	Business could do with a bit more trading time, especially businesses that contribute to the community and are well run.	Yes	Pre application advise needs to be with responsible authorities only as the information needs to be objective. Residents will be consulted in the subsequent 28 day consultation.	Yes	Good operators want to protect women and vulnerable people.	Yes		Yes			No (please tell us more below)	Framework hours are not balanced right now as it was 2 hours and it went down to 30 minutes. We should be judged on its own merits and we could do with another hour instead of 30 minutes.	Yes		Yes	Yes			
SE4 1PD	I work in Camden	Yes	Businesses would benefit from more trading times especially during weekends	Yes	Pre application should solely be with the responsible authorities as the info needs to be objective. Residents will be consulted on any 28 day consultation	Yes	Good Operators want to protect women and all vulnerable people. Venues are generally safe but problems arise on the street. Had an incident with female staff walking to her car not far from venue	Yes		Yes			No (please tell us more below)	It does not feel balanced and I feel 2 hours being reduced to 30 minutes seems too drastic. A good compromise would be 1 hour.	Yes		Yes	Yes			
NW1 8QR	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes		Unsure		Yes		Yes		Yes			Unsure		Yes		Yes	Yes			

WCQN SNT	I visit Camden regularly for shopping and recreation	No (please tell us more below)	Licensing Objective "The prevention of public nuisance" is weak, and much weaker than the council's proposals for its crime and disorder and protecting children from harm objectives. Because of this the policy as drafted is imbalanced in favour of applicants for licenses to the detriment of residents.  The Licensing Act 2003 states that a licensing authority must carry out its functions under this Act ("licensing functions") with a view to promoting the licensing objectives, including the "prevention" of public nuisance. The Act is unambiguous: the policy objective which the Licensing Authority is required to promote is prevention of public nuisance.  Para 4.4 of the draft policy states: "The Licensing Authority expect the operation of licensed premises not to unreasonably interfere with the personal comfort or amenity of immediate neighbours or the nearby community." This does not meet	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	fact that none of the Possible Measures to Address Public Nuisance (paras 4.49 et seq) can realistically be expected to have any effect on the conduct of patrons once they are outside the immediate vicinity of licensed premises. Indeed, on page 86 the council states that it does not expect licence holders to control the behaviour of customers once they are away from licensed premises, and cannot impose conditions on licences that require them to do so. Extending the terminal hours therefore increases the probability of uncontrolled anti-social behaviour later into the evening when residents have the most need of quiet enjoyment of their homes and when the likelihood of criminal or disorderly conduct is higher (acknowledged by the council at para 5.2). This is contrary to the council's duty to meet the objective of preventing crime and disorder and public nuisance. The extension of framework hours will therefore undermine the prevention of crime	Unsure			Unsure	The conditions appear helpful as far as they go, but the council is understandably - unable to require licensed premises to regulate the conduct of departing patrons once they are beyond the immediate vicinity of the venue. As a result there can be no license conditions that would alleviate negative cumulative impacts. It is for this reason that the Authority's consideration of cumulative impact needs to be far more rigorous and methodical than the proposals set out in this draft - see below.	
W1T 2BJ	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	It doesn't consider the residents that have houses metres away from the restaurants and bars that will be leaving their clients on the streets causing noise after closing	No (please tell us more below)	In my experience local authorities have not been useful to help residents	No (please tell us more below)	I am a single woman living alone and for the first time in 30 years I feel unsafe in my own area. I have lived in Fitzrovia my whole life and only the past year or so have the area felt unsafe.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	It does not consider the affects it will have on residents. Residents have already suffered with reduced parking options due to restaurant street expansion since the pandemic. It seems that London are favouring its businesses instead of residents more and more. Does the major want us out and immigrants in? That's what it looks like.	No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	Unsure		
W1T 2BN	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	It is obvious that extending the ease with which licensed premises can extend their opening hours is in direct opposition to the interests of residents in the area. In fact I would propose that any premises near residential areas should have their licensing hours CUT and not extended	Yes	Definitely needed	No (please tell us more below)	I reckon you need to do a lot more to protect women	No (please tell us more below)	No - you must do a great deal more to protect women	Unsure		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	Do NOT extend terminal hours. This is not necessary and is in fact harmful for everyone concerned	No (please tell us more below)	We have had so many problems - the onus is always on residents to prove that nuisances are unavoidable. Do nothing to make it easier for these premises to ride roughshod over the system the way they do	No (please tell us more below)	The rights of residents are not effectively recognised	No (please tell us more below)	Assisting applicants to increase their chances of extending their hours etc is NOT a good thing: all they do is work with the system, they don't care at all about disrupting residents' lives
NW1 8NZ	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes				Yes	
NW18AH	Other	Yes	clean, safe, and vibrant NTE, supported by a visible police presence, SO5 buses, marshals, British Transport Police (BTP) and a good cleansing service as services that mirror those available to daytime businesses. I see no indication that these vital services are being adequately addressed in the current proposal.  The interests of residents will only be served if the council fully considers and acts on the findings of the citizens forum and the comprehensive evening and NTE surveys conducted in 2024. It is essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights	Unsure	A key consideration is how the council would design a	Yes	consultations with trained and objective responsible authorities can be beneficial, it's crucial that this process doesn't become a tool for imposing excessive conditions on new businesses. Such conditions can set unrealistic expectations among residents and authorities, ultimately hindering business growth. Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively inform and educate residents about any changes to build support. They are potential customers.	Yes	I urge LB Camden to incorporate the Home Office guidance for spiking into its forthcoming Crime and Policing bill.  Furthermore, I recommend that all venues receive training on how to manage spiking incidents, including the isolation of offending items and preservation of evidence. The police should collaborate with these venues to improve responses to spiking reports and increase the likelihood of prosecution. Additionally, test kits should be readily available at all late-night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crimes through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike in incidents following the pandemic.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	grant to develop business friendly licensing. Initially 2 hours was proposed but after hostile reception this has been reduced to 30 minutes extra time; this is not very business friendly as, if you apply and if you are successful- after pre app- after 28 day consultation- after planning you will be dragged into the late night levy for one hours extra weekend trading per week.  The fees for this plus time and energy against return of investment will rule out any take up of all but the best resourced which does not support small businesses or grass roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit	Yes	I would say yes but it would be very helpful for licensed premises to understand.  - What is the evident threshold for a complaint from a resident? - How do the authorities filter vexatious and frivolous complainants? - Why should the history of a premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Earlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for this reason.	Yes	for nightclubs. 20 plus CCTV conditions for deep discounting supermarkets who are not responsible for consumption tells me that supermarkets walk away scot free from any serious kind of scrutiny or accountability, again!  Why is it that a fledgling grass roots music venue is held to a higher standard than a deep-discounting supermarket?  As long as they are suggested as guidance but this could be quickly open to abuse when insisting every condition is accepted or they can't operate in "certain areas" creating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well respected High Volume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb.  I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this consultation.		
NW1 8QH	I work in Camden	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes				Yes	
N19 5HY	I'm a resident	Yes	(which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifeline to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of poking holes through whatever application is put forward to them - so they're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westminster after dark. If Camden is bold in embracing these new policies, it will bring the whole borough a welcome cash injection not just from locals and other Londoners, but from tourists who will then spread the word that the Only Way is NOT Soho.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes! People will have their chance to speak out and share their opinions. Applicants, officers, and relevant authorities will all be engaged with and involved. This should streamline the whole process and allow for petty objections from the worst NIMBYs to be quashed, whilst highlighting actual relevant ones that may need addressing.	Yes	As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety charter is laudable and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be tarnished with a horrible crime happening under their roof, let alone be responsible for an innocent victim's permanent trauma. This policy helps to erect the correct measures for 21st century dangers in particular.	Yes	See above.	Yes	Yes	Yes	LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours as often, they provide the safe space to the people that their homes do not.	Yes	Accessibility should be mandatory in all venues. Well done for highlighting it.	Yes	For starters. Longer hours are needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a good start but needs to be more ambitious.	Yes	
NW1 8PS	I'm a personal license holder	Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes				Yes	
SE233UJ	I work in Camden	Yes		No (please tell us more below)	Yes	Yes	As well as the venues being made safer for women, the streets (especially routes to and from the stations) and the canal towpath should be made safe.	Yes		Unsure	There aren't many LGBT or cultural spaces in Camden Town	Unsure		Unsure	There should be more flexibility for opening later than 12.30 as Camden is renowned all over the world and should have potential for late openings	Yes				Yes	
TN14 5GZ	I work in Camden	Yes		No (please tell us more below)	Yes	Yes	Good that pubs are stepping up but it is still not too safe around the tube stations.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes				Yes	
N11 1LH	I work in Camden	Yes		Yes	Licensing Authorities only please	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	We are a Central Camden Town Bar in the safe market. We would like more hours for our grown up customers	Yes				Yes	



Nw2 3zf	I work in Camden	Yes	We need to increase the supply for late night venues. Whilst responsible alcohol management is key I believe if we live in a society with limited evening opening hours we are encouraging poor management of intake by individuals as people with rush to drink and get drunk quicker rather than the consumption of alcohol being part of the night out it will dominate and lead to more anti social behaviour.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure	Need to promote more procedures on what staff can do when hate crime takes place in their venues	Yes		Yes		Yes				Yes	
WC2H 9PJ	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	The main issue for me and my family is the concern that the Council, despite being committed to reducing crime and disorder, will not feasibly manage control of such. Already there is enormous noise disturbance and drug dealing and taking in the area, which will only increase. The safety of women surely will be put to greater risk. Children have disturbed sleep, which impacts their health, affecting their ability to concentrate at school. Meanwhile, we as parents, have the same issues. inter	Unsure		Yes	But are these safety measures adhered to. I don't believe that certain measures such as Ask Angela have been correctly implemented	Yes	once again they have to be correctly implemented	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	The current framework just about balances the effect of noise, crime and disorder. Extending these hours, and the add on of extra 30 minutes will, without doubt, add to all negative effects on residents, impacting an already difficult situation of getting some kind of sleep	No (please tell us more below)	I don't believe that the council will adequately monitor or enforce these licensing conditions. History shows that businesses consistently flout the rules, say sorry and repeat the same	No (please tell us more below)	What redress do residents have in reporting issues to the council	Unsure	
SE15 3JN	I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)	In the most difficult period for businesses in recent times and with the budget measures coming in April, more needs to be done to allow business the scope to be a success. I don't believe the policy goes far enough to allow business to thrive and its feels it is a half way measure that will push business towards late night levy and extra operational costs without the opportunity to make the requisite revenue. Though I appreciate that residents voices are important they can't be the main driver in this. We have an opportunity to make a decisive change that can bring businesses on a road map out of the difficult period dating back to Covid. We won't get another opportunity to do so and the amount of SME businesses going to the wall is a warning of what will happen unless a new wave of meaningful thinking and action takes place.	No (please tell us more below)	As mentioned the local community should have a voice and play a part in letting authorities know their feelings however I strongly disagree they should be part of the pre application as lines are then blurred between professional expert opinion and local bias, some of which is based on historic issues/operators. The people driving policy and making decisions should have a clear 360 of the landscape and have no foot in any camp as only via this expert driven decision making can the best outcome for all parties be achieved.	No (please tell us more below)	I personally don't believe it does, however this should be ran in conjunction with consultation with the numerous boards and support units that deal with this and champion women's safety on a daily basis. If they believe it does not go far enough then we need to listen and take further measures. Whilst I appreciate the stretch on the Police service at the moment, support on the streets would always be first port of call in addressing and ensuring safety for women.	No (please tell us more below)	Whilst it is important that this is included in the policy I don't feel it goes far enough and only real success will be achieved if further measures are brought to the table. Police and business should come together and look at joined up training across the Borough so that incidents are handled in a far better way and victim support increased. The Police need to look at the immediate response to reports of spiking (admittedly some come 24 hours post incident) and target prosecution as this will be the main deterrent. We need clear, joined up action on this matter, as for it to still be so prevalent in this day and age is something we all need to be held accountable for and work towards a safer environment for women dand men alike.	No (please tell us more below)	Camden has made some really good strides in terms of promoting inclusivity but more could be done. More information sharing to be encouraged across venues/businesses would make a difference whilst also Police could also be more forthcoming with information around demonstrations and knock on effect of this to both guests and staff of venues/businesses.	No (please tell us more below)	As always more can be done. In a similar vein to the issues around Women's safety and speaking to be encouraged across charities/boards who live this on a day to day basis the same should be done by bringing in companies such as 'Attitude is Everything' as again only with expert insight can we make the necessary steps to take inclusivity to where it needs to be.	No (please tell us more below)	As mentioned earlier the costs involved do not balance out the opportunity to make the requisite revenue and also brings into problems the late night levy. Either the Borough want to promote and get behind business starting to thrive and add to the community or they want to make an offering that is an offering to show willing but doesn't actually promote genuine growth opportunities. I don't envisage we will get this opportunity for a huge amount of businesses again. A more European attitude would go a long way to making this a success.	Yes	I believe it is but I think there can be more transparency around issues and complaints raised and how these are handled and communicated to the businesses.	No (please tell us more below)	I still think more can be done in terms of educating and engaging with business around licensing objectives particularly around late night.	No (please tell us more below)	There are so many conditions that are put against venues including around CCTV and yet areas of other sales of alcohol (off trade/supermarkets) are considerably less restrictive. ore often than not these conditions are linked to a operational cost the venue has to pick up and makes an already challenging environment even more prohibitive to work in.
WC2H 9DP	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	The current balance appear to satisfy both the residents and licensed premises and the proposed extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour	No (please tell us more below)	There is insufficient time between an application and the time in which forest residents to respond.	No (please tell us more below)	Every effort should be made to protect women within the community who are especially vulnerable when confronted with groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.	No (please tell us more below)	As above..it is easy to outline measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	Although tolerance is encouraged it is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below)	Too much responsibly is left with the licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues	Unsure	No evidence	No (please tell us more below)	Although proposed measures make sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure	Are the model condition enforceable or for guidance?
WC2H 9NU	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden.	Unsure	Not everyone will/can use this service	Yes	Only if they are monitored,, required and enforced	Yes	Only if the are monitored, required and enforced.	Unsure	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	No (please tell us more below)	No (please tell us more below)	No (please tell us more below)	This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayhem of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the urination in the streets.	Unsure	There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lots of local places are operating outside permitted hours.	No (please tell us more below)	See above	Unsure	
WC1X 9JE	Other	Yes		Yes	operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes			Yes			Yes		
DN21 1DY	Other	Yes	The Council has clearly understood there are many diverse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whilst promoting everything Camden has to offer. Lets be clear, there is no easing in the controls or standards that businesses must operate to. I do think that in some cases, the overall policy is too restrictive and could dissuade some operators from coming to Camden. Putting each type of venue into a box without really considering the intricacies of a venue is still disappointing. Removal of the Cumulative Impact Policy areas could help dispel the myth that Seven Dials & Camden Town are 2nd class areas.	Unsure	It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy. I have read information provided to applicants by some responsible authorities. Quite shockingly, there was an intimation that if the required conditions were not agreed to, that responsible authority would not permit the licence to be granted. That is wholly unacceptable, it is the licensing authority that make that decision, not the responsible authorities.	Unsure	Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in their inclusion. Too many negative messages can be off-putting and may even suggest the venue has a problem that doesn't exist. I think quite simply, the DPS should adopt the responsibility for training in the safety policy in the same way as they ensure all other training is completed. As in Q8 above, some elements of this policy are not necessary in every venue and so care must be taken to permit some flexibility in the elements contained within it.	Unsure	No real need for a second layer of responsibility.	Unsure		Yes	As question 10	Yes	I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-day consultation period still provides for any representations against the hours proposed. I do think it would fair to warn applications that trading post midnight would include them in the late night levy. They could then make an educated decision on whether those additional hours would remain beneficial.	Yes	Robust and relevant.	Yes	No further comment.	Yes	Useful to assist applicants, guidelines, not tramlines. As the policy rightly says, applicants can suggest their own conditions which are more likely to be tailored to the individual premises.
WC2B 5LF	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	It's doesn't take into account the impact in residential areas.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure				Unsure	From what I have read this draft consultation would worth discussing the Met Police ways to address public order offences in involving alcohol.	Unsure	I feel unsure of the this policy as cafe's have been given alcohol licenses within Camden Borough.	Unsure	







NW1 8LB	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	accept that local pubs and bars may have some form of impact on my amenity as a local resident. That is part of living in a vibrant city and borough and I benefit from it along with many others. But I think the proposed policy swings thing too far in favour of the the licenced premises and their economic interests over the amenity to residents. The Framework Hours should not be extended. Public nuisance is a real issue where we live. Not in the early or even later evening. But in the small hours of the morning - already. What might be vaguely tolerable in the mid to later evening in terms of noise and yob behavior is seriously life disrupting later in the evening or early in the morning. The wording of the policy on nuisance as it is proposed does not really have any teeth or oblige the pubs and clubs to do much at all to prevent nuisance behavior. It needs to be strengthened and the council needs to clearly mark its opposition to	No (please tell us more below)	Not really because it is not mandatory as far as I can tell so only the better operators will do this - and there is a serious risk that the bad operators will go nowhere near it. So under the auspices of looking like a good thing it is just capturing the people who will behave well and not focusing sufficiently on the people who will ignore it.	Yes	Yes, Positive build on a good start made in this area.	Yes	These seem good and sensible protections although some care will need to be taken to ensure they are working in practice.	Yes	Yes, on the whole, but it is rather high level and not very concrete in terms of what it will do.	No (please tell us more below)	It does not seem to be a particularly detailed or well-considered.	No (please tell us more below)	No - as above, the later the council is prepared to push the Framework Hours the more likely it is to affect resident amenity.	Unsure	No - I think she should name and shame hime and have t right touj	No (please tell us more below)	No, I there does not seem to much in the way enforcement. If many of the cover area, the hearing woud know his staff and be able to put it into service.	Unsure	
WC2H 9NU	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)		Unsure		Yes		Yes		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)		Unsure				Unsure	
B3 1JP	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Flexibility on hours is vital to stagger dispersal and allow responsible premises to prosper. Well run premises should be allowed to provide an amenity for people to enjoy, during the hours required.	Yes		Yes		Unsure	Retain option of Challenge 21 OR Challenge 25...a premises should have the flexibility to choose what is appropriate and effective. Having a PLH on duty at all times should not be mandatory as this is not always viable. Noise conditions need to be less technical, so they can be understood.
WC2H 9QR	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	You are acting against the interests of residents so that booze businesses can stay open longer and so that they don't have to take so much care about public nuisance. Why? How are we supposed to get our kids to school next day if they are woken up by noisy people on the streets later at night? It's not even healthy. The council spends loads of money saying that it's an organisation that wants to promote health, then does this. It's not right.	Unsure	It might do. But if a business gets advice from the council to apply for something then the licensing committee might feel bullied into letting it through even if there are lots of objections. How will you make sure this doesn't happen?  And in my experience 'engagement with local communities' can be a box-ticking exercise. How will you make sure this doesn't happen either?	Unsure	People and businesses say they are going to do stuff, but then don't follow through. Are you going to check?	Unsure	Again, people and businesses say they are going to do stuff, but then don't follow through. Are you going to check?	Unsure	Just sounds like words to me.	Unsure	Again, just sounds like words to me.	No (please tell us more below)	This is bonkers. Some places already open far too late near to where families live. Are you trying to drive us out completely? How come you have a primary school right here and then try to make it impossible for families to live nicely? Framework hours need to be earlier, not later, and if our elected reps cared about us they would change it that way instead.  Do you realise this means places not closing until half-past midnight on school nights?	No (please tell us more below)	The council really lets us down when it comes to enforcement. One of my neighbours has had a nightmare with a place that doesn't even have a proper licence, making his life a misery every night. He has complained loads, and he says the council hand police have even visited, but the place is still open at 4am. When there was a licence hearing the council had lost records of his complaints. This is worse than a joke.  The same sort of thing has happened to me, just not quite so bad.  You need to be serious about this. How are things going to change? Are you going to put a proper 24/7 team in place that is able to respond straight away and close places down if they are causing a nuisance to their neighbours?	No (please tell us more below)	I can't see where these measures mention the noise from people outside, which is our main problem. This is really, really important to us.  How are you going to deal with people when you don't even know where they have been drinking?	Unsure	They seem to be a bit confused, with things mixed up and repeated in bits. They would be helpful if they were sorted out, I think.  They should also be in a separate document so that they can be updated as new things come up. Nowadays all sorts of things are invented quite quickly and you probably need to be able to slot something in as a condition as needs be.

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [licensing\\_inbox](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Camden Licensing Policy  
**Date:** 20 February 2025 13:16:13  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear Camden Councillors

We would be grateful if you could please consider our support for your new licensing policy proposals.

My sister, Zoe, and I founded The Barbary and The Barbary Next Door restaurants in Neal's Yard in Seven Dials. We have worked very hard and are extremely privileged to have received a number of accolades, including a Michelin Bib Gourmand since 2016 and Time Out's Best London Restaurant 2 years in a row. We hope that we have played a small part in contributing positively to Camden's proud hospitality, cultural and evening economy offer.

Our restaurants are small, with only 24 and 14 internal covers respectively. The Barbary licence authorises the sale of alcohol to just 10:30pm and includes 47 conditions. The Barbary Next Door licence authorises alcohol sales to even earlier at 10pm and includes 45 conditions. The primary reason for the restrictive hours and conditions is the historical Seven Dials Special Policy Area.

We have worked tirelessly with our team to introduce a world class restaurant offering to this area, which is also recognised as the heart of the West End entertainment district. As a result, it has been difficult accept and understand a licensing policy that has restricted the potential of our businesses with 10/10:30pm licences heavily burdened with conditions. We have a professional and experienced team that always ensure the restaurants continue to operate responsibly alongside local residents, even if it was a little more flexibly and slightly later in the evening.

We therefore welcome Camden's proposal to remove the Seven Dials Special Policy area and extend Framework Hours. We have been fortunate to operate successful businesses but the industry continues to face serious challenges. A little flexibility afforded by the proposed licensing policy would help our restaurants and make other new independent businesses viable too.

Cumulative impact policies present a barrier to entry for fledgling hospitality businesses, they stifle creative new concepts and cause stagnation, with large alcohol-focused chain operators clinging on to old licences. Removing the policy represents an exciting opportunity for Camden and local businesses.

Thank you for considering our views and a new policy that would help to support the hospitality and wider evening economy.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

**STUDIO  
PASKIN**

[REDACTED]



[website](#) | [instagram](#) | [linkedin](#)

**Response to:**  
**Draft of Camden's Statement of Licensing Policy 2024-2029:**

---

I am writing on behalf of the Charlotte Street Association.

We wish to comment on the **Draft of Camden's Statement of Licensing Policy**, as set out below.

**Background: Charlotte Street Association:**

The Charlotte Street Association was formed in 1970. It's the area of interest the Fitzrovia area bounded by the Euston Road on the North, Gower Street on the East; Oxford Street on the South, and Wells Street/Cleveland Street on the West.

The Association is consulted on licensing and planning applications and other matters affecting the area. It gives evidence in support of its objectives at planning and other inquiries. It took part in the consultation on, and commented upon, the original proposed Statements of Licensing Policy for both Camden and Westminster; and in later years commented on the subsequent Reviews of Camden's Statement of Licensing Policy.

We have made representations on most licensing applications in the Fitzrovia area since the start of the 2003 Licensing Act. Thus, we have attended many subsequent Hearings. Also, we have often met with applicants, and sometimes, particularly more recently, we have been able to am agreement, thus avoiding the need for a Hearing.

Our main concern is usually the affect that proposals will have on the grounds of Public Nuisance, and the likely detrimental affect they will have on the residential amenity of those living nearby. Thus our approach is to ensure Hours and Conditions that help to protect the residential amenity of those living nearby licensed premises.

**Re: The Framework Hours: para 5.2 onwards:**

We wish to strongly object to the proposal to extend the terminal hours for each day of the week. The closing time also needs to be taken into account. Camden expects the closing time to be half-an-hour after the terminal hour for the Sale of Alcohol On-Premises. This will mean that for all days of the week (except Sunday), customers will be leaving premises at and well after midnight.

Thus:

Monday to Thursday: Framework Hours: until Midnight: **closing at half-past Midnight**

Friday & Saturday: Framework Hours: until half-past Midnight: **closing at 1.00AM**

Sunday: Framework Hours: until 11.00pm: **closing at 11.30pm.**

However well managed premises are, and whatever conditions are in place, there is inevitable noise and disturbance when customers leave chatting, even in small groups at such late hours. The closing time is the "start" of when customers leave, and so this period of noise and disturbance can be even later.

This means that residents will not have the opportunity for quietness and undisturbed sleep for most days of the week throughout the year.

Response to:  
 Draft of Camden’s Statement of Licensing Policy 2024-2029 - *continued*:

---

Fitzrovia is a mixed use area of residential and commercial. It is a long established and diverse residential community. Typical streets (for example: Charlotte Street; Goodge Street; Warren Street) consist of terraced buildings, with residential flats above, and shops, cafes, restaurant below. In some streets, such as Warren Street a considerable amount of the residential is social housing. With this urban pattern, many of the licensable premises are in close proximity of the residential.

Although the Policy says (as in para 5.7) that end time for Framework hours should not be regarded as the “usual” or “normal” terminal hour, our experience is that in practice, over the years, the Framework Hours (and thus the terminal hours) are regarded as the “norm” and the acceptable hours to be granted. Thus, we are particularly concerned that the new proposed Framework Hours (with the even later Terminal Hours and consequent later Closing Times) will be come the “acceptable norm”.

**Re: Appendix Five: Licencing Conditions:**

The idea of setting out many model Conditions is to be welcomed. But we find that the layout and format in this Appendix somewhat confusing and over whelming. We would welcome further consultation/liaison on how this could be improved, to make the use of such model Conditions easier.

Over the years, our Association has also evolved several additional Conditions to suit certain circumstances, which we would like put forward for consideration.

For example:

(A). Courier collections will only take place during the opening hours of the restaurant. Courier collections from the premises for take away meal deliveries to customers: To avoid noise and disruption to residents, and congestion in the street, for take away food orders that are being collected by delivery companies using couriers, there shall be the following arrangements:

- to encourage the use of cycle couriers (instead of scooters) in order to cut down on noise disturbance
- If scooter courier, the delivery/collection company will only be called when the order has been prepared and is ready for collection;
- Generally, one collection at a time whenever possible;
- Scooter couriers to turn off their engines, and no prolonged hanging around/waiting.

(B). Adopt Camden’s "Ask for Angela" initiative:

The premises shall at all times adhere to the three "Women’s Safety principles", which are:

- (a). Let’s Communicate – Staff need to feel comfortable to speak up and approach colleagues and management about any form of harassment that they may witness or be subjected to themselves and know the processes available to them to express their views and concerns.
- (b). Supporting Each Other – Ensure that you and your staff are aware of the various support campaigns available such as Ask Angela and that these are clearly displayed around your venue.

Response to:

Draft of Camden's Statement of Licensing Policy 2024-2029 - *continued*:

---

(c). Training for All – Staff training on how to report any issues, what to say and do should they witness or be subject to harassment and how to identify harassment and those who may be vulnerable, make them aware of your internal policies.

(C). The sale of alcohol OFF the Premise shall be ancillary to the main business of a (e.g. delicatessen retail shop).

(D). The sale of "Magnum Tonic Wine" (or similar high alcohol products) shall not be sold.

(E) Alcohol deliveries shall only be made to business and/or private residences, and not to many public/open spaces.

(F). Off Sales of alcohol/Food will be for delivery only and will be delivered to a verified address occupied by the customer.

---

*Clive Henderson*  
*Charlotte Street Association.*

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@chicknsours.co.uk>

**Sent:** 14 February 2025 16:38

**To:** licensing inbox <licensing@camden.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Camden Licensing Policy

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious. Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear Camden

I am a local restaurateur in Seven Dials. My new premises licence application in 2016 was challenging. It held up negotiations for my lease and went to a licensing sub-committee hearing. I was not proposing anything contentious or ambitious – just a small 50 capacity neighbourhood restaurant with modest hours.

Although my application was ultimately successful, it was a lengthy process and the licence was granted with 48 strict conditions.

I think this all relates to the Seven Dial's special policy area and strict hours policies. I therefore welcome Camden's business-friendly proposals to remove the Seven Dial's special policy area and extend framework hours. Although I have an established restaurant business, the proposed changes will hopefully make it easier for small aspiring restaurateurs to open in Seven Dials and beyond.

I also know that local resident groups and the authorities would still have plenty of power and opportunity to restrict licence hours and conditions etc. where there are specific concerns. However, those concerns have historically been directed at small low-risk premises which I do not think is appropriate, particularly bearing in mind the challenges high streets and the hospitality factor face at the moment.

Thanks for considering my support – I really hope the new policy is approved.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

Chick n Sours 1a Earlham Street



--



**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [licensing inbox](#)  
**Subject:** Licensing Policy Consultation  
**Date:** 30 January 2025 15:06:17

---

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious. Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear Councillors,

I trust you are well.

I am writing to support the proposed changes to licensing policy.

I am a director of Compagnie des Vins Surnaturels Wine Bar in Neal's Yard located in Seven Dials.

I am aware that the Seven Dials special licensing policy area has for many years created a barrier to entry for hospitality businesses and made licensing in the locality extremely challenging.

Although we would have no immediate plans to change our licence, we hope that the positive changes will help our neighbours and any fledgling independent hospitality businesses looking to move to the area.

From experience operating other licensed premises in London and worldwide, there are still plenty of controls under licensing regimes and policy to provide local residents and the authorities with the protection they need, even if there is no special licensing policy.

I also support the modest proposal to extend framework hours.

May I also take this opportunity to thank Camden Council for signalling their support to the hospitality sector.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Experimental Group  
Compagnie des Vins Surnaturels, New York City, London, Paris  
Experimental Cocktail Club, London, Paris, Verbier, Venice, The Cotswolds  
Henrietta Hotel, London  
Cowley Manor Experimental, The Cotswolds  
Hotel Des Grands Boulevards, Paris  
Hotel Grand Pigalle, Paris

Experimental Chalet, Verbier  
Experimental Chalet, Val d'Isère  
Experimental Beach, Ibiza  
Montesol Experimental, Ibiza  
Bijou Plage Experimental, Cannes  
Menorca Experimental, Menorca  
Il Palazzo Experimental, Venice  
Regina Experimental, Biarritz  
Le Garage, Biarritz

#### Confidentiality

This email and any attachments to it are confidential and intended solely for the person to whom they are addressed. They may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient you must not read, copy, or distribute this message or its attachments. Unauthorised use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this email in error, please contact us immediately by replying to the sender, and delete it and any attachments from your computer. Thank you.

#### Confidentialité

Ce courriel (de même que les fichiers joints) est strictement réservé à l'usage de la personne ou de l'entité à qui il est adressé et peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Toute divulgation, distribution ou copie de ce courriel est strictement prohibée. Si vous avez reçu ce courriel par erreur, veuillez nous en aviser par réponse, détruire toutes les copies et le supprimer de votre système informatique.



**Subject:**  
**Date:**



FW: Statement of Licensing Policy Consultation Response  
07 March 2025 11:59:23

---

Hi Guys,

See below response to the Licensing Policy leaflet.

Steven Dormer  
Licensing Officer

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 07 March 2025 11:50

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Statement of Licensing Policy Consultation Response

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious. Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear Camden Licensing Authority

Please consider KERB's support for your proposed new licensing policy.

We have operated in Seven Dials Market in the heart of Seven Dials since 2019. We were fortunate to be granted a premises licence but it wasn't an easy process within the scope of the historical Seven Dials special licensing policy. We also understand that a previous market operator decided not to enter a lease for the building due to concerns about the licensing policy.

Seven Dials Market provides a platform for fledgling entrepreneurs to showcase their talent. This provides an opportunity for our traders to prove their concept before taking their business to a bricks and mortar site. This is a big step for young businesses and strict licensing policies do not help. We would love to imagine a world in which our traders could have a more realistic opportunity to access local standalone premises in Camden as their business develops. The new licensing policy may help to remove some of the historical hurdles that can prevent this from happening.

We operate markets across London and internationally. There is a growing trend for local authorities to continually tighten their policies. This makes Camden's proposals incredibly exciting and can only have a positive impact in the borough of Camden. KERB therefore fully supports the proposed removal of the Seven Dials special policy, extension of framework hours and other great initiatives, for example promoting women's safety.

Thank you for the opportunity to take part in the consultation and for your recognition that the hospitality sector and high streets need support.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**KERB Ventures**

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 14 March 2025 17:24

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Camden Licensing Policy Consultation

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear William,

I have read through the draft Policy that is under consultation and cannot see any mention that an applicant needs to conduct a risk assessment prior to the application being submitted. The current policy does have a paragraph mentioning that a risk assessment is needed.

The MPS feel it is important that all applicants, especially new applicants must conduct a risk assessment so that no short cuts are taken in applying for these licences and that all licensing objectives are considered. We feel a risk assessment it needed in order to produce their operating schedule and therefore they can choose the appropriate conditions to minimise risk, especially with regards to crime and disorder.

In case I have missed this somehow, could you please make it clearer.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]

**NOTICE - This email and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may be confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this email or in any attachment without the permission of the**

sender. Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law and any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude binding agreements on behalf of the MPS by email and no responsibility is accepted for unauthorised agreements reached with other personnel. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this email, its security and that of any attachments cannot be guaranteed.

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [licensing\\_inbox](#)  
**Subject:** Licensing Policy consultation  
**Date:** 14 March 2025 11:11:39

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious. Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear Sirs

This is to make some high level representations in relation to your Licensing Policy consultation. These are observations based on the experience of a Camden resident who has the misfortune to live far too close to licensed premises which have systematically and over a long period of time been the source of anti-social behaviour and at times public violence, which is rarely the subject of any enforcement action.

Pressure of time precludes a more detailed response.

I make no apology for raising issues of practical importance which may not have been canvassed by the Council.

In general, I object to the proposals inasmuch as they tend to water down the essential policy objectives of preventing antisocial behaviour and, in particular, the prevention of public nuisance.

There is absolutely no need to extend generally what are already generous framework hours. Extensions can be requested by applicants on a case by case basis and should be required to be justified by the applicant. Furthermore, the Council should consider such applications having regard to the particular circumstances of each case and notably the proximity of the applicant premises to residential premises, as well as past conduct and cumulative impact.

One gets the impression that the Council is proposing to reduce the significance of policies (and actions, including monitoring) tending towards the prevention of Public Nuisance.

More generally, it is important to reinforce the requirement, both in policy and in practical application terms, that the onus is on an applicant to justify what it is seeking and to show that it will not cause undue harm. One gets the impression that, in its operation in practice, the Council takes the view that it is for those who object to justify **not** granting (or renewing) a licence.

There is no justification for blurring the distinction between “on” and “off sales.

The Council should place much more emphasis on cumulative impact issues. In practice they seem to be ignored. This has the effect of subordinating the legitimate interests of residents to those of businesses, some of which seem to promote excessive alcohol consumption, leading to anti social behaviour.

Renewal of a licence should not be a rubber stamp exercise. It should entail an investigation of the licensee’s conduct during previous licence periods.

The Council needs also to apply and enforce the Special Policy zones. One gets the impression these policies, as well as Controlled Drinking Zones, have fallen by the wayside.

There should be much more monitoring of licensed premises and enforcement of



breaches, public nuisance and anti social behaviour. Furthermore the training and conduct of door supervisors needs to be monitored; some seem to be very lax.

In making arrangements for effective monitoring, the Council must have regard to the demands on the police and not simply assume that the police should pick up the pieces of a failed licensing regime.

Cost of enforcement should be recouped on the basis of "polluter pays", ie the licensed premises community should defray the actual cost of proper monitoring and enforcement. This is all the more the case for those who are granted the permission to earn private profit from colonising the public realm.

Experience suggests the Council does not take sufficiently seriously the deleterious effect on residential amenity of the escape of noise and especially loud vibrating or pulsing music from inadequately soundproofed premises. If the Council does not have powers to inspect adequacy of soundproofing or to deal with this by way of (monitored) conditions, it should consider whether it is able to take powers to carry this out.

Some premises without licences seem to use TENs to run parties (as part of their business) on an all too frequent basis. This appears to have become a loophole in the licensing regime.

Applicants for licences should be required to notify by written communication those in close proximity of their premises. Some licensees and applicants have devised devious tactics to place notices in the most inconspicuous positions possible, so that residents may be unaware of an application until it is too late. The Council's apparent policy of automatically granting applications which are not the subject of representations only exacerbates the harm this practice can cause.

Please confirm receipt of this representation.

Regards



**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [licensing inbox](#)  
**Subject:** Licencing Policy consultation response  
**Date:** 13 March 2025 12:43:11

---

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious. Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Hello, here is the Licencing policy consultation response from the Primrose Hill Conservation Area Advisory Committee.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

13 March 2025

Licensing Policy Consultation

Thank you for consulting us.

The Advisory Committee is a long-established community group recognised by LB Camden.

The Advisory Committee at its meetings on 19 February and 05 March 2025 reviewed the consultation document and agreed that it wished to make the following points on this consultation.

1. The Advisory Committee wished to be included on the list of those notified of licensing applications, and of pre-application engagements. It is critically important that pre-application engagement is as inclusive as possible.
2. The Advisory Committee wished to be included on the list of those notified of licensing application decisions. Prompt notification of outcomes is critical to active community engagement.
3. The Advisory Committee strongly supports monitoring of the effectiveness of licensing policy and the publication of an annual monitoring report.
4. The Advisory Committee is concerned by the lack of effective licensing enforcement. We commend the excellent work of the Planning enforcement team, and seek a similar level of effectiveness for licensing enforcement. Extra resources may well be required.
5. The Advisory Committee is opposed to the amended framework hours until the proposed safeguards for community well-being are fully developed, consulted on, and implemented and an effective enforcement regime is in place.

Please confirm receipt of this consultation response.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
Chair Primrose Hill Conservation Area Advisory Committee.

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [licensing.inbox](#)  
**Subject:** Later licensing hours from Camden council  
**Date:** 16 March 2025 00:50:09

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious. Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear Licensing,

I have been a resident of Camden Council for the last 12 years. As a father with 2 young children

I STRONGLY OBJECT to

- 1) The extension of the Framework hours by 30 minutes each night - this contravenes Draft Policy 4.44 (Prevention of Public Nuisance)
- 2) Licensing Objectives which should be rephrased as "*The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and not unreasonably interfere with the personal comfort or amenity of immediate neighbours or the nearby community.*" This is to ensure that public nuisance is kept front and centre of any future amendments.

*Many thanks*

--

[REDACTED]

FAO [REDACTED]  
Licensing Authority  
London Borough of Camden  
5 Pancras Square  
London N1C 4AG

By email: [licensing@camden.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@camden.gov.uk)  
[REDACTED]

14 March 2025

Dear [REDACTED]

### **Response to Statement of Licensing Policy Consultation**

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to your Statement of Licensing Policy Consultation.

### **Draft Statement of Licensing Policy**

Shaftesbury Capital plc welcome the draft Statement of Licensing Policy. In particular, we support the proposals to:

1. Remove the Special Policy Areas (“SPAs”).
2. Extend Framework Hours by 30 minutes.
3. Provide greater emphasis on the promotion of equality, inclusivity, safeguarding and women’s safety in licensed venues.

We also support the Covent Garden Community Association’s proposals to introduce an updated pool of model licence conditions and a greater policy emphasis on the requirement for applicants to undertake a risk assessment before submitting a licence application.

We expand upon our views in respect of the above proposals in more detail below.

### **Shaftesbury Capital plc**

Our property portfolio makes up the most vibrant areas of London's West End. With a diverse mix of restaurants, cafés, bars, shops, residential and offices, our destinations include the high footfall, thriving neighbourhoods of Seven Dials, Covent Garden, Carnaby Street, West Soho, and Chinatown. We are heavily invested across Camden and Westminster. We focus on the sustainable re-purposing of existing buildings and aim to minimise the environmental impact of our operations across the business.

We take a proactive approach to estate management and a responsible curation of licensed tenants. Our suite of measures help to ensure that our tenants have a positive impact in the West End and do not contribute to adverse cumulative impact. In Seven Dials, measures include:

- a) Extensive stewardship of the Seven Dials and wider Covent Garden estate and public realm, including 24/7 monitoring of CCTV cameras, security patrols and litter picking, which has increased in Seven Dials since



Shaftesbury plc merged with Capital & Counties Properties plc to form Shaftesbury Capital plc in 2022;

- b) A thoughtful curation of our ownership, introducing many new high quality, well managed operators, most of whom are retail, and a careful vetting process of incoming tenants who share our values around a responsible approach to stewardship;
- c) Stringent leasehold covenants and obligations with detailed management controls, enforced through standard leases and estate regulations;
- d) Encouraging consolidated servicing and the use of electric vehicles with delivery guidelines;
- e) Partnership working with the Council on a variety of measures managing public realm, including the successful implementation of streetery al fresco schemes and traffic management in Seven Dials;
- f) Annual checks on all our tenants' licences and s.178 notification of interests in respect of our licensed tenants; and
- g) Friendly relationships with local stakeholders and amenity groups, with a commitment to listening to community feedback across a wide range of issues.
- h) Strong relationships with local police from multiple teams all of whom regularly patrol Seven Dials.

These comprehensive controls, together with the robust provisions contained in draft Statement of Licensing Policy and Licensing Act 2003, will continue to safeguard the promotion of the Licensing Objectives and encourage a harmonious relationship between Camden's licensed operators and local residents.

Furthermore, in an increasingly challenging climate for local high streets and the hospitality sector, the proposed Statement of Licensing Policy will help to promote and preserve Camden's reputation as a major contributor to the UK's culture, arts, world renowned music scene, retail, dining, experiential and entertainment sectors. We commend this policy objective, which is also in accordance with the Mayor of London's strategies in the new London Growth Plan.

Camden should be proud of its place in London's hierarchy of locations for hospitality, culture and the experience economy. This key role is increasingly important in a global setting to maintain the exceptional London experience which underpins the city's long term competitiveness to attract global talent, investors and visitors. The London Growth Plan promotes investment in culture as a key driver of the experience economy. The proposed Statement of Licensing Policy can help achieve this aim.

### **Special Policy Areas**

We have previously shared an independent night time and evening economy study undertaken by Arcola Research and Dr Philip Hadfield in respect of the Seven Dials SPA. Their findings in Seven Dials were consistent with the conclusions of Six til Six and MAKE Associates, in particular that "*there is insufficient compelling evidence to justify the publication of a CIA to promote any of the licensing objectives in any part of the borough of Camden*" (para 4.2.3 of the Six til Six and MAKE Associates report).

SPAs can facilitate a transition to lower risk licensed premises. However, if left for too long, SPAs can result in market stagnation with higher risk operators clinging on to historical late licences and a barrier to entry for innovative, cultural independent business concepts. The resulting impact is counter productive, leaving a less diverse entertainment and cultural offer with lowering operational standards. We therefore agree with Six til Six and MAKE



Associates' findings:

*“Other stakeholders expressed a desire not to publish a cumulative impact assessment... Reasons given again included the costs of obtaining a licence with a cumulative impact assessment in place would be prohibitive to new, innovative entrepreneurs that would bring much-needed diversity to the area.”*

We have direct experience of these unintended consequences and harm caused by the historical SPA. For example, the Licensing Panel imposed a restaurant condition on a small coffee shop licence despite all parties agreeing that it was not necessary. That fledgling independent business went into liquidation less than 2 years after opening. A major landmark tenant also aborted lease negotiations following concerns about the SPA making their exciting project unfeasible, even though there was local support. In addition, plans for a small grass roots music venue in Seven Dials were abandoned following unenthusiastic pre application advice under the SPA and Framework Hours policy.

Furthermore, our own recent analysis of our Seven Dials estate and surveys indicate that:

- Only about 40 of the licensed premises in the whole Seven Dials SPA open beyond midnight, and there are no late night opening off licences, take-aways, nightclubs or restaurants in Shaftesbury Capital's Seven Dials estate in the streets surrounding the Seven Dials monument. An area more typically designated as a Cumulative Impact Area would have a much higher concentration of high risk, late opening premises.
- Bars and pubs constituted the largest category of licensed premises in the Seven Dials SPA in 2021. In 2025, restaurants have now overtaken bars and pubs as the largest category of licensed premises, suggesting a movement towards lower risk venues. These venues are not a source of crime, nuisance or anti-social behaviour.
- There are very few security incidents involving Shaftesbury Capital's licensed tenants. Our security team patrol and proactively work in partnership with the police to help report and tackle any disorderly behaviour in the area.
- Higher risk, late night licensed premises are largely situated just outside or on the periphery of the Seven Dials SPA, with no, high risk impactful premises located in Shaftesbury Capital's managed Seven Dials estate. It is possible there is a degree of adverse impact on the outer edges of the historical SPA but certainly no cumulative impact within our comprehensively managed estate of thoughtfully curated tenants who predominantly operate earlier in the evening. Subset areas demonstrating these characteristics are not appropriate for SPA designation and could even be considered for further deregulation.
- Whilst footfall is up during the post Covid-19 recovery period, our Seven Dials estate footfall declines after 22:30 and falls sharply after midnight: during a recent nighttime footfall count on Earlham Street pedestrian numbers peaked at 21:30 with an hourly count of 863, then significantly dropping to just 5 at 03:30. This is not indicative of an area suffering from cumulative impact and can make the area feel less safe for women and vulnerable persons late at night. Increased footfall does not necessarily equate to over-crowding. Instead, it can mean vibrancy and greater levels of natural surveillance.
- In the context of Class E, Shaftesbury Capital has chosen to predominantly let units within Seven Dials to retail tenants. In the last two years, there have been 33 commercial lettings on ground floor units in Seven Dials. 27 of these were retail and only 1 is a new licensed restaurant in a unit not previously licensed.



As a result, the Six til Six and MAKE Associates report; the previous Arcola and Dr Hadfield reports and our own on-the-ground analysis provide a strong evidential basis to justify the removal of the Seven Dials SPA. Even without an SPA in place, the Licensing Objectives can continue to be promoted in Seven Dials by implementing the proposed Statement of Licensing Policy alongside our continued thoughtful tenant curation, comprehensive estate management and stakeholder engagement.

### Framework Hours

We note that the proposed terminal Framework Hours are significantly earlier than those originally proposed in the previous draft policy included in the Licensing Committee report dated 25 January 2024. We support the extension and acknowledge the Council's commentary in the Licensing Committee report dated 19 November 2024 (emphasis added):

*4.10. The draft Policy includes amended framework hours to **promote diversity within the local business environment and the evening and night-time economy whilst providing scope to mitigate any possible negative impacts to those who live and work in the borough.***

*4.11. The framework hours **encourage innovation and adaptability within the evening and night-time economy sector.** By allowing businesses to operate within their unique contexts, **framework hours can contribute to the development of a more dynamic and responsive business environment that benefits both the local economy and the community.***

*4.12. All licence applications, irrespective of their location, **for hours beyond the Council's framework hours will receive increased scrutiny.** Applications that do not adequately promote the statutory licensing objectives and/or highlight issues with regard to cumulative impact are likely to attract representations from the Licensing Authority or any of the relevant Responsible Authorities.*

*4.14. The revised framework hours are intended to provide an **increased level of flexibility for existing licensed venues and support an increase in the diversification of the Camden evening and night-time economy offer.***

*4.15. It should be noted that the changes do not mean that all venues operating within the existing framework hours will automatically be able to open later. Existing venues will need to submit variation applications for consideration in line with the statutory process.*

The controls cited in the commentary above are adequately reflected in the draft policy, in particular in paragraph 5.11. This will reassure local residents, who may be concerned about the modest extension to Framework Hours proposed. In addition, we note that Appendix 3 of the draft policy helpfully states:

*“We expect all premises that are open outside framework hours and all premises located in close proximity to any residential premises, implement a dispersal policy at their venue.”*

Any extension to Framework Hours is likely to be welcomed by our licensed tenants. However, we do not expect wide-ranging variation applications to extend existing hours in our Seven Dials estate, particularly bearing in mind the additional 30 minutes on Friday and Saturday would incur liability to pay the Late Night Levy. We would hope that this also provides a degree of reassurance to local residents.



We anticipate that those tenants who may wish to slightly extend hours in Seven Dials would include restaurants, and to a lesser extent bars/pubs, who wish to improve their offering for a post theatre customer base. In our view this is extremely unlikely to adversely impact upon the Licensing Objectives and there are adequate safeguards under the draft policy and statutory regime to ensure any local concerns are addressed.

As a result, we do not believe that the modest extension to Framework Hours will result in additional crime, nuisance or anti-social behaviour. The extension will likely encourage enhanced security provision by businesses across a broader spread of closing times, levelling out footfall and reducing the risk of localised crowding. In addition, more premises contributing to the Late Night Levy will enhance the capacity of the Responsibility Authorities to improve their response services for the area post midnight.

### **Equality, Inclusivity and Women's Safety**

Shaftesbury Capital commend the Council's proposals to promote and place greater policy emphasis on equality, inclusivity and women's safety. We would also welcome the opportunity to work with our licensed tenants and the Responsible Authorities to coordinate training (including WAVE, Ask for Angela, ask for Clive and Drink Spiking Awareness) and facilitate sharing of best practice operational procedures.

Our own experience and surveys demonstrate a significant fall in pedestrian footfall in the streets of Seven Dials from approximately 22:30 onwards (see above). This is unusual in the wider West End context and can compromise public safety for women and vulnerable persons passing through the area late at night. We believe that well managed licensed premises operating a little later in the evening facilitate a natural supervisory presence that can provide safe spaces, promote the public safety licensing objective locally and make the area feel more safe.

This view is consistent with the findings of Six til Six and MAKE Associates:

*"Seven Dials has a strong food-led offer and an attractive streetscape however there is very little in the way of an offering outside of food. This is particularly noticeable beyond 23:00 as most of the premises close, leaving very little to do in the area. Where there are later opening premises, it was apparent that door staff were exceptionally well trained, supporting vulnerable people to get home safely and generally ensuring good dispersal from the area."*

### **Model Licence Conditions & Risk Assessments**

Shaftesbury Capital would like to place on record our gratitude to Mr David Kaner of the Covent Garden Community Association for his time and efforts coordinating a proposal for an updated pool of model licence conditions and greater policy emphasis on the requirement for risk assessments. These helpful suggestions are made in accordance with the section 182 Guidance and will assist all parties involved in the licence application process.

We share the view that a user-friendly resource of clear and concise licence conditions can be extremely helpful when discussing a licensing proposal, whether at pre application stage, during the statutory consultation period or in a Licensing Panel hearing. We therefore support the Covent Garden Community Association's proposals in principle.


In a significant number of cases model conditions will be appropriate, albeit with a shared understanding that each application must be considered on its own merits in accordance with paragraphs 1.16 and 1.17 of the section 182 Guidance is included accordingly.





Thank you for your consideration of this consultation response in support of your policy proposals.

Yours sincerely



Estates Director



## Tell us your views on alcohol licensing in Camden

**If you live in Camden, own a local business or enjoy our nightlife – we want to hear from you!**

We are reviewing how we regulate and licence businesses that sell or supply alcohol and provide late-night entertainment or refreshments in the borough. Our draft licensing policy sets out our vision to support our local nightlife and businesses, and to make sure everyone can enjoy Camden while staying safe.

**Get involved and share your views by 14 March 2025.**

Scan the QR code to take part in the consultation survey, or visit:

**[consultations.wearecamden.org/supporting-communities/licensingpolicy](https://consultations.wearecamden.org/supporting-communities/licensingpolicy)**



**We look forward to your valuable contribution!**