### LONDON BOROUGH OF CAMDEN

**WARDS**: All

**REPORT TITLE:** 2024-25 Annual Counter Fraud Report

**REPORT OF:** Executive Director Corporate Services

FOR SUBMISSION TO:

DATE:

Audit and Corporate Governance Committee

2 July 2025

### **SUMMARY OF REPORT**

This report provides the Audit and Corporate Governance Committee with a summary of anti-fraud activities undertaken during the 2024-25 year. The report covers the period 1st April 2024 to 31st March 2025.

### **LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 – ACCESS TO INFORMATION:**

No documents have been used in the preparation of this report that are required to be listed.

#### **Contact Officer:**

Nasreen Khan

Head of Internal Audit, Investigations and Risk Management

5 Pancras Square

London N1C 4AG

Telephone: 020 7974 2211

Email: nasreen.khan@camden.gov.uk

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

The Committee is asked to note the report.

Signed: As agreed by the Executive Director Corporate Services

Date: 18 June 2025

## 1. Purpose

- 1.1. This report is intended to support members of the Audit and Corporate Governance Committee in obtaining assurance that the Council has a sound framework of governance, risk management and internal control. It does this by providing information on the performance of the resources deployed on counterfraud activity.
- 1.2. This report fulfils responsibilities under the Committee's Terms of Reference i.e.
  - To consider the assessment of fraud risks and potential harm to the Council from fraud and corruption;
  - To monitor Council policies on whistle-blowing and the anti-fraud and anticorruption strategy;
  - To receive an annual report on the Council's usage of its powers under the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act (RIPA).
- 1.3. The Local Government Transparency Code 2015 requires local authorities to publish details of their counter-fraud activity. This report provides an update on counter-fraud work undertaken by the Council's Anti-Fraud and Investigations Team for the 2024-25 year, covering the period 1st April 2024 to 31st March 2025.
- 1.4. The Head of Internal Audit, Investigations and Risk Management has responsibility for corporate counter-fraud related activity and reports annually to the Audit and Corporate Governance Committee on performance and outcomes of investigations conducted by the Council's Anti-Fraud and Investigations Team. This report also includes an update on the counter-fraud activity undertaken by the Council's Housing Investigations Team.

## 2. Corporate Investigations

- 2.1. Corporate Investigations are undertaken by the Council's Anti-Fraud and Investigations Team (AFIT), which forms part of the Internal Audit, Investigations and Risk Management service. The primary objective of AFIT is the prevention and detection of fraud, corruption and irregularity. The service structure comprises of three investigation officers within AFIT, all of whom are required to be professionally qualified to accredited counter-fraud specialist standard, or equivalent.
- 2.2. AFIT is responsible for undertaking reactive investigations (i.e. investigations arising because of referrals received from employees, management, the public, members, etc.). These include referrals received under the Council's whistleblowing procedure. For the period covering 1<sup>st</sup> April 2024 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025, 9 whistleblowing referrals were received. Details of these 9 referrals are provided within the private appendix to this report.
- 2.3 As reflected within Table 1 below, for the period 1<sup>st</sup> April 2024 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025, AFIT received a total of 120 referrals of suspected fraud, theft or irregularity, including nine whistleblowing referrals.

2.4 Of the 120 referrals received, 94 referrals have been closed following the conclusion of investigatory work. 66 of the closed referrals were found to be substantiated and 28 were found to be unsubstantiated. The remaining 26 referrals remain open and are subject to ongoing enquiries. Further analysis is provided below:

Table 1: Number of referrals substantiated per year

| Period                          | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2024 to<br>31 <sup>st</sup> March 2025 | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2023 to<br>31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024 | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2022 to<br>31 <sup>st</sup> March 2023 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Number of Referrals<br>Received | 120  | 102  | 55   |
| Number<br>Substantiated         | 66*  | 67**   | 22***  |

<sup>\*</sup>In 2024-25, 48 referrals out of the 66 referrals substantiated between 1st April 2024 and 31st March 2025 related to Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) fraud (where drivers were found to have submitted false or misleading information in support of their appeal).

2.5 A breakdown of the types of referrals received over the period 1<sup>st</sup> April 2024 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025 is provided in Table 2. A breakdown of referrals received per directorate for the same period is provided in Table 3. In both instances, figures for the year 2022-23 and 2023-24 have also been provided.

Table 2: Breakdown of referrals by type

| Referral Type            | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2024 to<br>31 <sup>st</sup> March 2025 | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2023 to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024 | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2022 to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2023 |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|
| Housing related          | 4  | 1   | 1   |
| Identity / Right to work | 1  | 2   | 1   |
| Payment                  | 12   | 12  | 8   |
| Theft                    | 2  | 6   | 3   |
| Procurement              | -  | -   | -   |
| School admission         | 6  | 1   | 1   |
| Data breach              | 9  | 1   | 3   |
| Employment               | 14   | 12  | 8   |
| False document           | 6  | 4   | -   |
| Police arrest            | -  | 4   | 2   |
| Capital/Savings          | 1  | 1   | 1   |

<sup>\*\*</sup>In 2023-24, 48 referrals out of the 67 referrals substantiated between 1st April 2023 and 31st March 2024 related to Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) fraud.

\*\*\*In 2022-23, 10 referrals out of the 22 referrals substantiated between 1st April 2022 and 31st March 2023 related to Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) fraud.

| Harassment, inappropriate behaviour and intimidation | -   | 4   | 7  |
|--|-----|-----|----|
| Conspiracy   | 3   | 1   | -  |
| Parking related (including PCN)                      | 56* | 52  | 17 |
| Contractor   | 3   | 1   | 1  |
| Impropriety  | -   | -   | 2  |
| Favouritism / Nepotism                               | 3   | 1   | -  |
| Total  | 120 | 102 | 55 |

<sup>\*48</sup> of the 56 parking related referrals have been substantiated by AFIT. Of the remaining 8 referrals 6 remain open for investigation and 2 referrals have been closed as unsubstantiated.

Table 3: Breakdown of referrals by Directorate

| Directorate                             | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2024<br>to 31 <sup>st</sup> March<br>2025 | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2023<br>to 31 <sup>st</sup> March<br>2024 | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2022<br>to 31 <sup>st</sup> March<br>2023 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Supporting Communities                  | 28  | 21  | 11  |
| Supporting People                       | 11  | 11  | 6   |
| Adults and Health                       | 9   | -   | -   |
| Children and Learning                   | 2   | -   | -   |
| Corporate Services                      | 25  | 18  | 21  |
| Corporate Services –<br>Parking related | 56  | 52  | 17  |
| Total                                   | 120   | 102   | 55  |

# Summary of investigation outcomes for 2024-25

- 2.6 86 of the referrals received for the period covering 1<sup>st</sup> April 2024 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025 contained allegations of fraud or malpractice allegedly committed by members of the public, companies, or other organisations. Outcomes of these referrals are as follows:
  - 56 referrals have been substantiated (48 related to parking);
  - 21 referrals were unsubstantiated, and
  - 9 referrals remain open with enquiries ongoing.
- 2.7 The remaining 34 referrals received within this period relate to allegations of fraud or malpractice by Council employees. Outcomes of these cases are as follows:
  - 10 referrals have been substantiated;

- 7 referrals were unsubstantiated, and
- 17 referrals remain open with enquiries ongoing.

#### Control enhancements

- 2.8 Following the completion of investigations and where relevant, AFIT aims to improve and strengthen controls by issuing investigation reports, which detail recommendations and share lessons learned to address any control weaknesses identified during the investigation.
- 2.9 In addition, AFIT has also provided ad-hoc advice to management in relation to the design and implementation of anti-fraud measures and controls across a number of areas.

# 3. Blue Badge enforcement

- 3.1. The Blue Badge scheme is a national initiative to help disabled people and those with non-physical disabilities (such as people who are autistic, have a learning disability, dementia or a mental illness) to park close to their destination, either as a passenger or driver. While the badge is intended for onstreet parking only, some off-street car parks, such as those provided by local authorities, hospitals or supermarkets, also offer benefits to Blue Badge holders. It is a criminal offence to misuse the Badge and misuse could lead to a fine of up to £1,000.
- 3.2. Fraudulent use of blue badges may include use of a counterfeit badge, use of a lost or stolen badge, or use of a badge belonging to a deceased person. Misuse of genuine badges may also arise from use of a badge when the holder is not present.
- 3.3. The benefits of undertaking enforcement action against fraudulent use of blue badges include:
  - More space for genuine badge holders,
  - Better management of the kerbside,
  - As people are discouraged from using prime locations as long-stay parking, this means a greater turnover of visitors to high streets, and
  - Improved traffic management and better air quality.
- 3.4. AFIT conduct blue badge patrols on a regular basis in order to combat and detect blue badge fraud. This involves officers from AFIT conducting patrols alongside Civil Enforcement Officers (CEOs). Additionally, AFIT has further established a joint-working protocol with Parking Services to combat blue badge misuse. As part of this arrangement, Parking Services deploy CEOs to perform blue badge patrols and relay information to AFIT to conduct real-time checks on the national blue badge database to establish any misuse or fraudulent use of blue badges being displayed within Camden. In addition to the scheduled blue badge patrols, AFIT and Parking Services also undertake ad-hoc reactive work to investigate referrals of suspected misuse that are received via other

sources, including referrals from members of the public. In these instances, and where proportionate to do so, AFIT and Parking Services arrange for 'targeted' patrols to be undertaken in response to the information/intelligence received from referrals.

3.5. The statistics recorded in the table below detail the outcome of enforcement activity for the period 1<sup>st</sup> April 2024 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025. In addition to patrols, investigations were also undertaken in relation to specific referrals received and as a result of joint-working with Parking Services.

Table 5: Outcomes of street patrols

| Activity  | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2024 to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2025 | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2023 to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024 | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2022 to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2023 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Street patrols  | 12  | 15  | 12  |
| Vehicles inspected (approximate)                      | 1,200   | 1,500   | 1,200   |
| Referrals/allegation of misuse                        | 87  | 74  | 80  |
| Cases of misuse established                           | 70  | 67  | 54  |
| Penalty Charge<br>Notices (PCNs) issued<br>for misuse | 66  | 61  | 54  |
| Removals  | 26  | 16  | 13  |
| Confiscations   | 26  | 22  | 7   |
| Interviews  | 8*  | 16  | 9   |
| Warnings  | 2   | 2   | 6   |
| Cases referred to<br>Legal Services                   | 4   | 1   | 6   |
| Successful prosecutions                               | 4   | 1   | 5   |
| Prosecutions awaiting court date                      | 0   | 0   | 1   |

<sup>\*</sup>The number of individuals invited to attend an interview was 24. However, 18 individuals did not attend. These cases were considered individually based on the evidence held and were only referred to the Council's legal service if considered appropriate for prosecution.

Table 6: Comparator data – Blue Badge prosecutions:

| Activity                            | 1 <sup>st</sup> April    | 1 <sup>st</sup> April    | 1 <sup>st</sup> April    | 1 <sup>st</sup> April    |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                     | 2024 to 31 <sup>st</sup> | 2023 to 31 <sup>st</sup> | 2022 to 31 <sup>st</sup> | 2021 to 31 <sup>st</sup> |
|                                     | March 2025               | March 2024               | March 2023               | March 2022               |
| Cases referred to<br>Legal Services | 4                        | 0                        | 6                        | 1                        |

| Successful   |   | _ | _ | 1 |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|
| prosecutions | 4 | 1 | 5 | ' |

- 3.6. AFIT can receive referrals of suspected blue badge fraud from the public using the contact details in the 'How do I report fraud' page on the Council's website. Referrals can also be received from other services, for example, Parking Services. For each referral received, AFIT made enquiries to determine whether sufficient information was provided, or available via other sources, to conduct an investigation and potentially proceed to a prosecution/other action being taken.
- 3.7. In addition to blue badge fraud, AFIT has also worked with officers within Parking Services to investigate cases of potential Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) fraud, where drivers submit false or misleading documentation in support of their PCN appeal.
- 3.8. On 24<sup>th</sup> May 2024, AFIT participated in a London-wide "*Blue Badge Day N Action*". The day of action was organised by the London Borough of Lambeth and facilitated by London Councils. The day of action involved all London boroughs, and some local authorities across the country, having officers out on the streets conducting patrols in their respective areas to identify as many Blue Badge infringements as possible. The next day of action is scheduled for 30<sup>th</sup> May 2025.

# 4. Housing Investigations

- 4.1. A report published by the Fraud Advisory Panel and Tenancy Fraud Forum (TFF) in 2023<sup>1</sup> estimated that occupants of around one in twenty social housing homes are linked to some form of tenancy fraud.
- 4.2. The Housing Investigations team (HIT) in the Council's Supporting Communities directorate work with other housing teams to make sure that those who live in council homes, or who apply to buy them, are entitled to. The team also investigates tenancy fraud and related tenancy condition breaches, including illegal subletting, non-occupation, undeclared ownership of other properties, fraudulent right to buy applications, fraudulent succession applications and false housing applications. HIT can also provide support to local Housing Associations with these areas.
- 4.3. All HIT officers have a professional certificate in investigation skills or the equivalent. Officers focus either on the north or south of the borough linking with neighbourhood housing teams for strong collaborative working and intelligence sharing. The team consists of four investigation officers and a team manager who also carries a (smaller) case load.
- 4.4. The table below provides data from 1 April 2024 to 31 March 2025. It also includes figures for the previous two years:

## **Table 7: Housing Investigations Team cases**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lost Homes, Lost Hope April 2023

|   | 2024-2025 | 2023-2024  | 2022-2023 |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Total properties recovered:                       | 70        | 62         | 57        |
| Ineligible succession or assignment applications  | 15        | 33         | 21        |
| Ineligible right to buy requests                  | 48        | 10         | 16        |
| Sub-letting                                       | 2         | 7          | 3         |
| Other unauthorised occupancy (keys passed on)     | 3         | 5          | 7         |
| Non- occupation and abandonment                   | 2         | 7          | 10        |
| Unlawful profit recovered / compensation payments | -         | £15,071.71 | -         |

- 4.5. Other cases are with the Council's lawyers or awaiting a court hearing date or appointment with the court bailiffs. It can take many months for the courts to arrange an eviction date after a Possession Order has been granted and, when an unauthorised occupant is not making payments for their use and occupation, these delays can result in a significant loss of rental income. There remains a significant backlog in the court system.
- 4.6. Whilst there have been different methods of measuring the cost of tenancy fraud, the TFF previously have recommended that social housing providers base their calculations on a national average of £42,000 per home. However, a new report from October 2024² has recalculated this cost upwards in the Greater London area and the London average is now £66,000 per home. Other calculations have used the significantly higher rebuild value of a social housing home. Applying the TFF figure to the 70 homes recovered in Camden last year:

## 70 homes recovered in 2024-25 period x £66,000 = £4.620 million

4.7. The actual figure in a high demand and high cost area like Camden will be significantly higher, especially taking into account the scarcity and cost of temporary accommodation. In addition, the TFF figure does not reflect that

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tenancy Fraud London 2025- Learning the lessons Para 5, Page 5

- detected unauthorised occupiers are significantly less likely to make payments for their use and occupation than tenants are to pay their rent.
- 4.8. In 2011 the Audit Commission said "Tackling housing tenancy fraud is one of the most cost-effective means of making social housing properties available to match the demand from those in genuine need". The work that HIT and other housing teams do to prevent, identify, and address housing fraud is critical given the scarcity of social housing. It helps to deliver the We Make Camden Ambition that everyone in Camden should have a place they call home. It helps ensure that council homes are not lost from the stock and are available to let to people in housing need. When residents see tenancy fraud being tackled it increases public confidence in the Council as well as acting as a deterrent.
- 4.9. The Regulator of Social Housing has set out an expectation in the updated "Tenancy Standard" in the regulatory framework for social housing in England that "Registered providers must take action to prevent and tackle tenancy fraud".
- 4.10. As well as making sure that applicants are eligible to succeed to a tenancy or to buy a council home, HIT is also an expert resource providing support with complex tenancy management issues. Joint tenants succeed to a tenancy automatically when a joint tenant dies and when a sole tenant asks to enter into a joint tenancy with someone else HIT carry out checks to make sure that the proposed joint tenant is eligible. They provide similar support when a tenant asks to assign their tenancy to another household member. As well as protecting the Council's assets these checks can also identify potential safeguarding concerns helping to protect vulnerable tenants from exploitation.
- 4.11. HIT also highlight when a successor is living in a home that has more bedrooms than they need. This helps to ensure that successors in this situation are encouraged to downsize to free up larger homes for families when this is appropriate. It also identifies when successors may benefit from money advice as the bedroom tax will affect them further down the line if they are below state pension age and receive Universal Credit or Housing Benefit towards their housing costs.

HIT dealt with at least six successors downsizing in 2024-5 freeing up homes for families.

4.12. Whilst HIT are focussed on recovering council homes when the occupants are not entitled to a council tenancy, the team remain mindful that many unauthorised occupants may not have known they were living in a council home or may not have realised there was anything wrong with moving back into the home they grew up in as a child after a parent died. Part of the team's work includes linking occupiers to help and advice about their housing options, especially if they are at risk of homelessness. When occupants engage with this support it can help to resolve an issue of unauthorised occupancy much more quickly than the legal enforcement route, and at significantly reduced cost.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> [ARCHIVED CONTENT] (nationalarchives.gov.uk) Para 21, page 10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Tenancy Standard - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) Para 2.1.4 accessed 15 May 2024

4.13.HIT can be contacted via an on-line form on the Council's website<sup>5</sup> or at <u>TenancyFraud@camden.gov.uk</u>.

### 5. Whistleblowing

- 5.1 The Council has facilities available for concerns to be reported confidentially.
- 5.2 As outlined in section 9 below, the Council's whistleblowing policy last updated in June 2023.
- 5.3 AFIT has published information for employees on the Council's intranet explaining how they can report suspicions of fraud or impropriety.
- 5.4 If any employee of the Council knows of or suspects any irregularities concerning staff, cash, assets or any other financial mismanagement or impropriety then they must inform the Head of Internal Audit, Investigations and Risk Management. Employees can also contact investigation officers from AFIT directly. Employees could also consider first reporting their suspicions to their line manager; however if this is not appropriate then they should inform AFIT. A 'How do I report fraud' page is also available via the Council's website.
- 5.5 Where appropriate, the Council also signposts whistleblowers to the organisation Protect (previously known as Public Concern at Work), who provide a free whistleblowing advice service. Details of this organisation can be found here https://protect-advice.org.uk/
- 5.6 In line with AFIT's protocol, AFIT will undertake an assessment on receipt of a whistleblowing referral, irrespective of whether the referral was received anonymously or from an identified individual. If the referral meets the criteria for whistleblowing, an investigative pathway is agreed. If the allegation relates to matters within AFIT's remit e.g. fraud, misappropriation, wrongdoing, AFIT would lead the investigation. However, if the allegation relates to matters outside the remit of AFIT, for example employee grievance matters, then the matter would be referred to the relevant area e.g. HR for further action.
- 5.7 A national whistleblowing helpline overseen by the National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (NSPCC) is available for employees wishing to raise concerns about a child at risk of abuse.
- 5.8 Between 1st April 2024 and 31st March 2025, the Council received nine referrals that met the criteria for whistleblowing. Details are included in Appendix A (confidential appendix).

# 6. Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA)

- 6.1 The purpose of RIPA is to:
  - Provide a statutory, European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) compliant framework for the use of intrusive investigative techniques;

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Report an unlawful occupancy - Camden Council

- Update previous legislation in the light of technological and legal developments; and
- Regulate and control the use of intrusive techniques by public authorities, introducing national standards.
- 6.2 Under Part II of the Act, local authorities have powers to conduct 'directed covert surveillance', subject to judicial order approving such activity. We cannot conduct 'intrusive surveillance' i.e. within a private residence.

# RIPA policy and relevant officers

- 6.3 The Council has a policy for RIPA to specifically provide guidance to any officer who wishes to gather intelligence for their investigation by the use of covert surveillance or from communications data. Failure to follow this policy could have consequences for the Council. As a general rule, the use of RIPA should only be the last resort where the information being sought for an investigation cannot be obtained by any other means.
- 6.4 Camden manages its use of surveillance through a central system which is overseen by the Head of Internal Audit, Investigations and Risk Management, who has the designation of Senior Responsible Officer (SRO) for RIPA purposes and is responsible for maintaining the Council's corporate system regarding RIPA authorisations.
- 6.5 The Council adopted the use of a trained RIPA Co-ordinating Officer to ensure the efficient processing of applications. This ensures that there is a standardised approach to the use of the powers as well as providing monitoring of the application forms. Named Authorising Officers have been designated throughout the authority and are responsible for authorising surveillance activity.
- 6.6 As part of the statutory requirements of the RIPA, all Authorising Officers and investigators must be trained before any application for activities under this Act can be submitted or authorised. Additionally, in order to ensure their knowledge of RIPA is current, regular refresher training (at least triennially) must be completed. Officers from investigation and enforcement teams across the Council, including Authorising Officers, undertook RIPA refresher training in April 2023, and was well attended. The training was delivered by Act Now Ltd who are a training and consultancy firm specialising in Data Protection, Freedom of Information and Cyber Security.
- 6.7 The Council had requested one application to communications data for the period 1st April 2024 to 31st March 2025 and due process was followed. In the period since the last RIPA inspection in December 2022, the Council has not exercised its RIPA powers in respect of directed surveillance or Covert Human Intelligence Source (CHIS). However, the Council remains compliant should the situation arise where authorisations would be required. In terms of background, the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA) ('the Act') clarified and introduced regulations to update on the use of covert surveillance and the interception of communications by law enforcement and security agencies. It was intended to ensure that these investigation powers were used in accordance with the Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA) and to take account of technological advances. Over time, many of the provisions under the Act have been replaced by relevant provisions of the Investigatory Powers Act 2016 (the '2016 Act').

## RIPA and the National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN)

- 6.8 All local authorities who wish to access communications data under the 2016 Act are required to become members of National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN) and use their shared SPoC services. This Act governs applications for communications data; it is the legal gateway for local authorities to obtain such information. The Council has requested one access to communications data for the period 1st April 2024 to 31st March 2025 as outlined in paragraph 6.7 above.
- 6.9 NAFN is a not-for-profit public sector organisation. It is managed by and for the benefit of its members, delivering services which enable effective financial governance and efficient acquisition of data, as well as acting as the hub for intelligence alerts and providing best practice examples of process, forms and procedures. NAFN is also recognised by key government departments and agencies as the preferred single point of contact for specialist data enquiries. This enables them to establish secure, central links to information providers and deliver a faster service.

# External inspection and monitoring of RIPA use

- 6.10 Part II RIPA inspections are conducted by the Investigatory Powers Commissioner's Office (IPCO). The primary objectives of IPCO inspections are to:
  - Ensure that the system in place for acquiring communications data is sufficient for the purposes of the Act and that all relevant records have been kept,
  - Ensure that all acquisition of communications data has been carried out lawfully and in accordance with the Human Rights Act, Part I Chapter II of RIPA and the associated Code of Practice,
  - Provide independent oversight to the process and check that the data which has been obtained is necessary and proportionate to the conduct being authorised,
  - Examine what use has been made of the communications data acquired (results obtained) to ascertain whether the data has been used to good effect,
  - Ensure that errors are being 'reported' or 'recorded' and that the systems are reviewed and adapted in the light of any exposed weaknesses or faults,
  - Identify good and bad practice and disseminate our findings to the communications data community, and
  - Ensure that persons engaged in the acquisition of data are adequately trained and are aware of the relevant parts of the legislation.
- 6.11 The Council was subject to an inspection by the IPCO on 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2022 in line with the scope outlined at section 6.10 above.
- 6.12 The findings from this inspection were reported to the Chief Executive on 12<sup>th</sup> December 2022. Outcomes from inspection were exceedingly positive. The IPCO inspection report stated that the inspector was impressed by the knowledge of the SRO and Co-ordinating Officer, in particular their understanding of the practical application of RIPA during investigations. The next inspection is anticipated to take place in December 2025. However, IPCO has yet to notify the Council.

- 6.13 One recommendation was made as a result of the previous inspection:
  - To update some of the paragraphs in the RIPA policy to enhance its robustness and to reflect new Authorising Officers. The policy was updated in June 2023 to implement the recommendation and shared with the ACGC in June 2023.
- 6.14 Further to the Home Office's revocation of the local authority's Single Point of Contact (SPoC) accreditation so that all applications for communications data are submitted to NAFN, inspections under Part I Chapter II of RIPA by the IPCO are now carried out at NAFN's offices and the Council may be contacted if an application has been made during the relevant period. The reason for this contact would usually be for clarification to queries raised by the inspector on an application.
- 6.15 The Council submits statistics of all surveillance activity to the IPCO annually and for the period 1<sup>st</sup> January 2023 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2024 no covert surveillance were conducted by the Council. The IPCO was advised of this on 14<sup>th</sup> January 2025. All activities under RIPA are reported to the Audit and Corporate Governance Committee annually.

### 7. Proactive work plan, fraud awareness and principal risk

- 7.1 The Council takes a zero tolerance approach to fraud and serious fraudulent activity has been included as a principal risk in the Council's Principal Risk Report. A number of controls and actions are identified within the Principal Risk Report to demonstrate how this risk is being mitigated. Some of the controls and actions being taken include:
  - AFIT provide controls advice and fraud awareness sessions to service areas as applicable;
  - Internal Audit and AFIT work closely to ensure that intelligence is shared to support the identification of fraud risks and to ensure that Internal Audit are able to make recommendations to enhance controls and prevent the recurrence of fraud;
  - Fraud risks feed into the annual audit and investigations plan. Delivery of the plan ensures that recommendations are made to address control weaknesses;
  - A mandatory anti-fraud training module is in place;
  - The Council participates in the National Fraud Initiative exercise that matches electronic data within and between public and private sector bodies to prevent and detect fraud and error.
- 7.2 Along with reactive investigations, AFIT also delivers a proactive work plan designed to prevent and detect fraud. Services continued to seek proactive antifraud input in 2024-25, with proactive anti-fraud input sought in a number of areas including housing and finance. AFIT also undertake proactive anti-fraud reviews in areas considered to be of higher risk of fraud. The objective of these reviews are to seek to identify if any fraudulent activity has occurred.

7.3 AFIT's proactive suite of work also includes fraud awareness training. All staff are required to complete online learning on fraud awareness, which forms part of the Council's suite of mandatory learning modules. The fraud awareness module was updated in 2024-2025, as part of the wider corporate mandatory learning package refresh, and all officers were required to complete the training. Regular compliance reports are produced and reviewed by HR and senior management, which summarises the data and statistics detailing the number of staff who have completed the mandatory training modules. The completion of all mandatory learning modules is also linked to officers' annual performance reviews). From 1st April 2024 to 31st March 2025, 4329 employees have completed the fraud awareness module.

# 8 Proactive anti-fraud initiatives – utilising data

8.1 Camden is committed to continuously improving, enhancing and developing practices and techniques for the prevention and detection of fraud and corruption. As part of this commitment, the team utilises data analytics tools, where available, to enhance its proactive approach to anti-fraud work. AFIT also continues to explore new initiatives to use data in a way that enables a smarter approach to identifying fraud.

# National Fraud Initiative (NFI)

- 8.2 The National Fraud Initiative (NFI) is a biennial exercise ran by the Cabinet Office, which matches electronic data within and between public and private sector bodies to prevent and detect fraud, including police authorities, local probation boards, fire and rescue authorities, as well as local councils and a number of private sector bodies.
- 8.3 At the beginning of each exercise, Camden is required to upload a number of datasets onto the NFI's secure application, including Housing Benefits; Payroll; Pensions; Housing tenancy; Housing waiting lists; Right-to-Buy; Blue Badges; Council Tax; and Resident Parking Permits. A number of external datasets are also provided by third parties, including HMRC, Companies House; and the DWP.
- 8.4 The latest NFI data matching exercise commenced in February 2025 and produced 9,822 matches for Camden (source: NFI web portal for Camden). Whilst there are a high number of matches, local authorities are not required to review all matches, and the decision whether to undertake a full investigation into each match is at the discretion of the local authority. To this end, matches are assigned with either a high, medium or low priority rating, and the Cabinet Office recommends that the high priority matches are reviewed first, and all outcomes are recorded on the NFI's secure web application.
- 8.5 Matches are returned in 14 separate categories, including Blue Badge, Council Tax, Housing Benefit, Payroll, Housing Tenants, Housing Waiting List, Right to Buy, Creditors, Pensions and Residents' Parking Permits.

- 8.6 Matches are allocated to and investigated by a number of teams across the Council, including AFIT, the Housing Investigations Team, Accessible Transport Team, Parking and Council Tax and Business Rates teams.
- 8.7 The Cabinet Office publishes a report at the end of each exercise summarising what local authorities have saved cumulatively as a result of the NFI exercise. The last exercise (from 2022 to 2024) enabled participating organisations to prevent and detect £510.1 million fraud and error in the period 1st April 2022 to 31st March 2024; the report, published in February 2025, can be accessed here.

### **Enhanced Hub**

8.8 In December 2023, the Council signed up to the NFI's Enhanced Hub for a two year period. The Enhanced Hub is a new user-based system where data uploads can be made more frequently than the biennial NFI exercise and is anticipated that it will provide a greater opportunity to detect fraud and/or error. The datasets matched will be similar to those in the biennial exercise.

#### London multiple working pilot

- 8.9 In November 2023, the Council signed up to the NFI's London multiple working pilot exercise. This pilot involves the matching of datasets from certain recruitment agencies and managed service provider partners with the local authority's payroll. Currently, circa 26 out of the 33 London councils are participating in this exercise.
- 8.10 The objective of this exercise is to identify employees and agency staff working for more than one organisation at the same time and failing to declare multiple working. Following the pilot, the Cabinet Office has now granted approval for this exercise to be conducted via the Enhanced Hub. This will generate regular matches to be reviewed allowing members of the Enhanced Hub to target this type of fraud on an ongoing basis.

## 9 Policies and procedures

9.1 In line with good practice, AFIT seeks to review its policies and procedures at regular intervals. Updated policies and procedures were presented to the Committee in June 2023. Since June 2023, the Social Media for Investigations Policy has now been further updated to include a paragraph on data protection following a review by the Council's Data Protection Manager. The addition to the policy has been highlighted in the data protection section at **Appendix B**.

# 10 Legal Comments of Borough Solicitor

10.1 There are no legal implications arising from this report. Legal advice and guidance has been provided, where appropriate, in relation to the many enforcement cases and initiatives which have taken place across the Council during 2024/25 and which are detailed in this report to the Committee.

# 11 Finance Comments of the Executive Director Corporate Services

11.1 The Executive Director Corporate Services has been consulted and comments are incorporated within the body of the report.

# 12 Environmental implications

There are no known environmental implications arising from this report.

# 13 Appendices

**Appendix A:** Whistleblowing update (confidential appendix)

Appendix B: Social Media for Investigations Policy

End